TABLE 4. COST CONTROL MEASURES AND MANAGEMENT PRACTICES USED BY STATE FINANCIAL ASSURANCE FUNDS

State	Use Standard Forms for Site Assessment and Corrective Aciton Plans	Approve Cleanup Plans and/or Budget Prior to Implementation (preapproval)	Specify Cleanup Plans	Requires Competitive Bidding		Payment Limits for Site Assessment/ Receptor Assessment	Certify Contractors or Set Contractor Qualification Requirements	Limit Types of Activities at Sites	Limit Extent or Amounts of Allowed Activities	Limit Overhead Paid	Require Use of a Fee Schedule	Oversee Cleanups	Use Pay-For-Performance	Prioritize Claims to Conserve Funds	Employ a Board to Oversee Fund Activities	Employ a Third Party Administrator	Risk-based Cleanups			Cover Corrective Actions undertaken only as a result of a capital improvement
				Tank Owner	State as Agent of Owner												Cover cleanps based on site specific Risk-based end points	Costs incurred for cleanups more stringent than Risk-based end points	Cover long-term monitoring/ maintenance of engineering controls following risk-based closure	
AK																				
AL	x	x		Highly recommended		x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x		x	No. But may if there is still a nuisance.		
AR		x				allowable reasonable necessary			x	x	x	x			x		x			
AZ		Pre-approval is an option			x	x		x	x	x	x						x			
CA		Pre-approval is optional		x		Reasonable Necessary	x	x			x		Only existing PFP/Ceased new PFP 6/2007	x						
CO	x	x				x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x		x			
CT		x		x		reasonable costs		x							x					
DE		x					x	x	x	x		x			x		x			
FL	x	x			x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x				x		
GA	x	x				reasonable costs		x	x	x	x	x	x	x						

Based on a survey conducted by the Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation. Data received from all States with Financial Assurance Funds. Updated May 2008.

TABLE 4. COST CONTROL MEASURES AND MANAGEMENT PRACTICES USED BY STATE FINANCIAL ASSURANCE FUNDS

State	Use Standard Forms for Site Assessment and Corrective Aciton Plans	Approve Cleanup Plans and/or Budget Prior to Implementation (preapproval)	Specify Cleanup Plans	Requires Competitive Bidding		Payment Limits for Site Assessment/ Receptor Assessment	Certify Contractors or Set Contractor Qualification Requirements	Limit Types of Activities at Sites	Limit Extent or Amounts of Allowed Activities	Limit Overhead Paid	Require Use of a Fee Schedule	Oversee Cleanups	Use Pay-For-Performance	Prioritize Claims to Conserve Funds	Employ a Board to Oversee Fund Activities	Employ a Third Party Administrator	Risk-based Cleanups			Cover Corrective Actions undertaken only as a result of a capital improvement
				Tank Owner	State as Agent of Owner												Cover cleanps based on site specific Risk-based end points	Costs incurred for cleanups more stringent than Risk-based end points	Cover long-term monitoring/ maintenance of engineering controls following risk-based closure	
IA	x	x	x	reserve discretion to require	x		x	x	x	x		x	x	have authority if necessary	x	x	x	no reimbursement	x	only if supported by RBCA
ID	x	x					x			x	Fee negotiated annually in contract with contractor				x		x			
IL	x	x						x	x	x	x						x			
IN		x	x	x		x		x	x	x	x			x	x	x				
KS	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x	x	prioritize work		x				
KY	x	x				x	x			x	x	x	x	x						
LA	x	x		x RAC obtains bids for certain activities	x Abandoned Tank Fund Only	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x		x		x	x
MA				x		x		x	x	x	x			x	x	x	x	x	x	
MD		x	x			x		x	x			x		x						
ME	x	x	x					x	x	x		x		x	x					

Based on a survey conducted by the Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation. Data received from all States with Financial Assurance Funds. Updated May 2008.

TABLE 4. COST CONTROL MEASURES AND MANAGEMENT PRACTICES USED BY STATE FINANCIAL ASSURANCE FUNDS

State	Use Standard Forms for Site Assessment and Corrective Aciton Plans	Approve Cleanup Plans and/or Budget Prior to Implementation (preapproval)	Specify Cleanup Plans	Requires Competitive Bidding		Payment Limits for Site Assessment/ Receptor Assessment	Certify Contractors or Set Contractor Qualification Requirements	Limit Types of Activities at Sites	Limit Extent or Amounts of Allowed Activities	Limit Overhead Paid	Require Use of a Fee Schedule	Oversee Cleanups	Use Pay-For-Performance	Prioritize Claims to Conserve Funds	Employ a Board to Oversee Fund Activities	Employ a Third Party Administrator	Risk-based Cleanups			Cover Corrective Actions undertaken only as a result of a capital improvement
				Tank Owner	State as Agent of Owner												Cover cleanps based on site specific Risk-based end points	Costs incurred for cleanups more stringent than Risk-based end points	Cover long-term monitoring/ maintenance of engineering controls following risk-based closure	
MI	x		a separate statute provides the elements of site investigation and cleanup	x			x	x	x	x	x	x								
MN	x	active rem. only		x		x			x	x	x	x			x		x			
MO		x		x				x	x	x		x	x		x	x	x			
MS	x	x	x	x		x	x	x	x	x	x	x								
MT		x	x								x				x					
NC		x		x		x		x	x	x	x						x			
ND	x	x	x	x				x	x	x		x								
NE	x	x		optional	PFP contracts are bid		no but other NE agencies licenses required.	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x			case by case

Based on a survey conducted by the Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation. Data received from all States with Financial Assurance Funds. Updated May 2008.

TABLE 4. COST CONTROL MEASURES AND MANAGEMENT PRACTICES USED BY STATE FINANCIAL ASSURANCE FUNDS

State	Use Standard Forms for Site Assessment and Corrective Aciton Plans	Approve Cleanup Plans and/or Budget Prior to Implementation (preapproval)	Specify Cleanup Plans	Requires Competitive Bidding		Payment Limits for Site Assessment/ Receptor Assessment	Certify Contractors or Set Contractor Qualification Requirements	Limit Types of Activities at Sites	Limit Extent or Amounts of Allowed Activities	Limit Overhead Paid	Require Use of a Fee Schedule	Oversee Cleanups	Use Pay-For-Performance	Prioritize Claims to Conserve Funds	Employ a Board to Oversee Fund Activities	Employ a Third Party Administrator	Risk-based Cleanups			Cover Corrective Actions undertaken only as a result of a capital improvement
				Tank Owner	State as Agent of Owner												Cover cleanps based on site specific Risk-based end points	Costs incurred for cleanups more stringent than Risk-based end points	Cover long-term monitoring/ maintenance of engineering controls following risk-based closure	
NH	x	x	x	Case-by-case		x	Consultant must be PE or PG, no requirements for contractors	x	x	x	standard costs	x	x	x	x					
NJ		x				x	x	x	x		x	x					x	x	x	
NM				x	x		x	x	x		x	x	x		x					
NV		x		x			x		x	x		x			x		x	x		
NY	x	x	x							x	x	x				x				
OH		x							x	x					x		x			
OK	x	x				x	x		x	x	x	x	x	x						
PA		on occasion		option of the Fund						x			x		x	x	x	x	x	x
RI		x					consultant must be PE			x		x			x					
SC	x	x		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x			
SD		x	x	option of the Fund		x	x	x	x		x	x			x		x		x	x
TN	x	x	x	sometimes		x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x					
TX	x	x	x		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x				x				

Based on a survey conducted by the Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation. Data received from all States with Financial Assurance Funds. Updated May 2008.

TABLE 4. COST CONTROL MEASURES AND MANAGEMENT PRACTICES USED BY STATE FINANCIAL ASSURANCE FUNDS

State	Use Standard Forms for Site Assessment and Corrective Aciton Plans	Approve Cleanup Plans and/or Budget Prior to Implementation (preapproval)	Specify Cleanup Plans	Requires Competitive Bidding		Payment Limits for Site Assessment/ Receptor Assessment	Certify Contractors or Set Contractor Qualification Requirements	Limit Types of Activities at Sites	Limit Extent or Amounts of Allowed Activities	Limit Overhead Paid	Require Use of a Fee Schedule	Oversee Cleanups	Use Pay-For-Performance	Prioritize Claims to Conserve Funds	Employ a Board to Oversee Fund Activities	Employ a Third Party Administrator	Risk-based Cleanups			Cover Corrective Actions undertaken only as a result of a capital improvement
				Tank Owner	State as Agent of Owner												Cover cleanps based on site specific Risk-based end points	Costs incurred for cleanups more stringent than Risk-based end points	Cover long-term monitoring/ maintenance of engineering controls following risk-based closure	
UT		x		x	x		x	x	x	x	x	x	x				x	x		
VA	x	x	x	x		x		x	x	x	x	x				x				
VT		x	x	sometimes		x		x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x			
WA[A]	x	x	x			x	x	x	x	x	x	x				private insurance				
WA[B]	x	x	x			x	x	x	x	x	x	x								
WI	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x							
WV																				
WY	x	x	x		x		x	x		x	x	x								
TOTAL	**27**	**45**	**20**	**26**	**12**	**29**	**27**	**36**	**38**	**39**	**35**	**36**	**16**	**14**	**22**	**9**	**22**	**6**	**6**	**5**

[A] Commercial Underground Storage Tank Fund.

[B] Oil Heat Program.

Based on a survey conducted by the Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation. Data received from all States with Financial Assurance Funds. Updated May 2008.