

DESIGN CHARACTERISTICS OF STATE FINANCIAL ASSURANCE FUNDS

State	Agency With Primary Responsibility	Types of Tanks Covered <sup>1</sup>	No. of Tanks Covered	Fund Coverage				Factors Affecting Limits of Coverage					Date of Release
				Corrective Action	Third-Party	Separate or Combined	Deductibles Apply	No. Tanks	Tank Size	Tank Type	Tank Owner	Extent of Regulatory Compliance	
AK	Department of Environmental Conservation	P, U	3,000	✓ (Partial)		N/A <sup>2</sup>	✓					✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	
AL	Department of Environmental Management	P	20,611	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Separate	✓					✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	✓
AZ	Department of Environmental Quality	P, C, U, M	22,307	✓ (Partial)		N/A <sup>2</sup>	✓					✓ (may result in reduction in payment)	✓
CA	Waste Resources Control Board	P, H, U		✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Combined	✓	✓				✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	
CO	Department of Health	P	18,000	✓	✓	Separate	✓	✓			✓	✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	
CT	Department of Environmental Protection	P, H	Unknown	✓	✓	Combined	✓						
DE	Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control		239	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Separate	✓					✓	✓ <sup>3</sup>
FL	Department of Environmental Regulation	P, A	13,000	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Full)	Separate	✓					✓ (may result in rejection of claim and/or a fine)	

1 P = Petroleum; U = Used oil; H = Heating oil; A = Aboveground; C = Chemical; F = Farm; M=Mixed.  
 2 N/A = "Not Applicable"  
 3 Actually based on date applications for reimbursement received.  
 4 Deductible applies to commercial tanks only.  
 5 Actually based on number of facilities.  
 6 Actually based on date that cleanup costs are incurred.  
 7 Private insurance with reinsurance subsidized by the State.

DESIGN CHARACTERISTICS OF STATE FINANCIAL ASSURANCE FUNDS (continued)

State	Agency With Primary Responsibility	Types of Tanks Covered <sup>1</sup>	No. of Tanks Covered	Fund Coverage				Factors Affecting Limits of Coverage					Date of Release	
				Corrective Action	Third-Party	Separate or Combined	Deductibles Apply	No. Tanks	Tank Size	Tank Type	Tank Owner	Extent of Regulatory Compliance		
GA	Department of Natural Resources	P, U		✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Combined	✓						✓ (may result in rejection of claim or reduction in payment)	
IA	Underground Storage Tank Board	P, U	15,500	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)		✓	✓			✓		✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	
ID	State Insurance Fund	P, A	1,551	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Combined	✓			✓	✓		✓ (may refuse to insure)	
IL	State Comptroller	P, H, C, U, and empty tanks	60,325	✓	✓	Separate	✓						✓ (may result in rejection of claim, increase in owner/operator deductible, or reduction in payment)	✓
IN	Department of Environmental Management	P	25,682	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Combined	✓	✓					✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	✓
KS	Department of Health and Environment	P, H, C, U	14,000	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Separate	✓	✓			✓		✓ (may result in a fine)	
KY	Petroleum Storage Tank Environmental Assurance Fund Commission	P	13,421	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)		✓	✓					✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	
LA	Department of Environmental Quality	P, U	22,587	✓	✓		✓						✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	✓
MA	Department of Public Safety	P	22,500	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Combined	✓						✓	
ME	Department of Environmental Protection	P, H, U	16,348	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Combined	✓	✓ <sup>3</sup>					✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	

Based on responses to a survey conducted by the South Dakota Petroleum Release Compensation Fund.

Dr. [unclear]

DESIGN CHARACTERISTICS OF STATE FINANCIAL ASSURANCE FUNDS (continued)

DRAFT

State	Agency With Primary Responsibility	Types of Tanks Covered <sup>1</sup>	No. of Tanks Covered	Fund Coverage				Factors Affecting Limits of Coverage					Date of Release	
				Corrective Action	Third-Party	Separate or Combined	Deductibles Apply	No. Tanks	Tank Size	Tank Type	Tank Owner	Extent of Regulatory Compliance		
MI	Department of Management and Budget	P,U	38,434	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Combined	✓						✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	
MN	Department of Commerce	P,H,A,C,U		✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Combined	✓						✓ (may result in rejection of claim or reduction in payment)	
MO	Department of Natural Resources			✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Combined	✓						✓ (may result in rejection of claim and/or a fine)	
MS	Department of Environmental Quality	P,U	13,624	✓	✓	Separate							✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	
MT	Petroleum Tank Release Compensation Board	P,H,A,U,F	60,000+	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Combined	✓		✓	✓			✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	
NC	Department of Environment, Health and Natural Resources	P,H,U,F	54,510	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Combined	✓ <sup>4</sup>			✓			✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	✓
ND	Commissioner of Insurance	P,A	7,354	✓	✓	Combined	✓						✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	
NE	Department of Environmental Control	P,H,A,U	25,500	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Combined	✓				✓		✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	✓
NH	Department of Environmental Services	P,H,U	7,942	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Combined	✓			✓ <sup>3</sup>			✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	
NM	Environment Department	P	8,000	✓ (Partial)		N/A	✓						✓	✓ <sup>5</sup>
NV	Division of Environmental Protection	P,H,A,U	25,100	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Separate	✓		✓	✓			✓ (may result in rejection of claim or reduction in payment)	

Based on responses to a survey conducted by the South Dakota Petroleum Release Compensation Fund.

DESIGN CHARACTERISTICS OF STATE FINANCIAL ASSURANCE FUNDS (continued)

State	Agency With Primary Responsibility	Types of Tanks Covered <sup>1</sup>	No. of Tanks Covered	Fund Coverage				Factors Affecting Limits of Coverage					Date of Release	
				Corrective Action	Third-Party	Separate or Combined	Deductibles Apply	No. Tanks	Tank Size	Tank Type	Tank Owner	Extent of Regulatory Compliance		
NY	Office of the State Comptroller													
OH	Petroleum Underground Storage Tank Release Compensation Board	P,U	43,760	✓	✓	Combined	✓	✓					✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	
OK	Oklahoma Corporation Commission	P,A,U	27,000	✓	✓	Combined	✓				✓		✓ (may result in a fine)	✓
PA	Insurance Department	P,H,C,U	100,000+	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Separate	✓						✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	✓
SC	Department of Health and Environmental Control	P	25,000	✓ (Partial)		N/A	✓							
SD	Department of Commerce and Regulation	P,H,A	11,000+	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Full)	Combined	✓						✓ (may result in rejection of claim or reduction in payment)	✓
TN	Department of Environment and Conservation	P,U	33,000	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Separate	✓	✓					✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	✓
TX	Texas Water Commission	P,A,U	133,683+	✓ (Partial)		N/A	✓	✓					✓ (may result in deferral of payment until compliant)	
UT	Department of Environmental Quality	P,U	8,328	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Combined	✓				✓		✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	
VA	State Water Control Board	P,H,U	64,763	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Combined	✓							
VT	Department of Environmental Conservation	P,H,C,U	8,000	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Full)	Separate							✓ (may result in rejection of claim and/or a fine)	✓ <sup>5</sup>

Based on responses to a survey conducted by the South Dakota Petroleum Release Compensation Fund.

DESIGN CHARACTERISTICS OF STATE FINANCIAL ASSURANCE FUNDS (continued)

DRAFT

State	Agency With Primary Responsibility	Types of Tanks Covered <sup>1</sup>	No. of Tanks Covered	Fund Coverage				Factors Affecting Limits of Coverage						Date of Release
				Corrective Action	Third-Party	Separate or Combined	Deductibles Apply	No. Tanks	Tank Size	Tank Type	Tank Owner	Extent of Regulatory Compliance		
WA	Pollution Liability Insurance Agency	P	2,000	✓ <sup>7</sup>	✓	Combined	✓						✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	
WI	Department of Industry, Labor and Human Relations	P,H,A,U	77,941	✓ (Partial)	✓ (Partial)	Combined	✓						✓ (may result in rejection of claim)	
WY	Department of Environmental Quality	P,C,U	8,365	✓ (Full)	✓ (Partial)	Separate	✓						✓ (may not be eligible for corrective action coverage)	

Based on responses to a survey conducted by the South Dakota Petroleum Release Compensation Fund.