Site Name: Kearsarge Metallurgical Corp.												
	Region: 1 Listing Date: 9/21/1984 PCC_OM Dat 5/30/2004											
Size: 9 Acreage Derived:												
Contamination	Remedy											
Impacted Media: Groundwater	Remedy Facility abandoned in 1982. 1990 ROD required excavation of contaminated waste											
✓ Media Cost Drive Contaminants of Concern: 1,1,1-TCA; 1,1-DCE; 1,2-DCA; TCE; 1,1-DCA; Chromium; Copper; Nickel	Components: pile with off-site disposal (completed in 1992). Groundwater pump and treat (metals removal and air stripping VOCs) began operation in 1993. In 2002, a concentrated mass of cVOCs subsurface soil lead to an Explanation of Significant Differences in											
✓ COC Cost Driver COC Cost Driver - O M: 1,1,1-TCA and 1,1-DCE	September 2003 to remove 5,670 tons CVOC impacted soil. Concentrations of											
Estimated Quantity Media:	contaminants in groundwater dropped significantly after the 2003 soil removal action and in December 2005 the treatment plant was temporarily shut down to monitor											
Description Volume Estimate:	and evaluate contaminant trends.											
Impacted Media: Soil Institutional Controls? Yes No												
Media Cost Drive Contaminants of Concern:	No. (ICs not stipulated by ROD)											
☐ COC Cost Driver COC Cost Driver - O _M: Estimated Quantity Media:	Are there primary components of the remedy planned but not yet constructed or implemented?											
Description Volume Estimate:	Description of primary components not constructed/implemented:											
Estimated Costs												
Estimated Cost Source: Not available.												
Estimated Cost Description:												
Actual Costs												
What is the source of the actual costs? NHDES Accounting												
Description of Actual Costs. Figures, accuracy, time-frame costs reflect, categories (e.g., capital, typic	cal year, 10-year replacement costs, etc)											
Site transferred to State O&M May 30, 2004. Costs are currently associated with maintaining treatmof remedy effectiveness.	ent plant in a ready-state condition, sampling and analyses of groundwater and ongoing evaluation											
If actual costs have significantly changed over time, what events can be attributed to this?												
Treatment plant temporarily shut down in December 2005 to monitor effectiveness of 2003 source re	moval action and monitored natural attenuation of residual contaminants in groundwater.											
Has there been an optimization review? If so, what year was it conducted?												
 Yes No Evaluation of effectiveness of 2003 source removal and monitored natural a 	ttenuation of residual contamination in groundwater is ongoing.											
Source of funding: Are some costs still coming from EPA? How does the state pay for the long-term	obligations?:											
No federal funds. Source of State funds are General Funds.												
	equired by ROD, the State will seek to ensure controls are in place to restrict groundwater use. Costs ant operation, monitoring and closure ware of concern.											
Actual Cost Table												
Fringe Indirect Lab Personnel Rate (%) Rate (%) Equipment Travel Supplies Analytic	cal Contractual Other Total Comments											
2004 \$10,617.38 0.00% 0.00% \$0.00 \$64.38 \$152.12 \$0.0												
2005 \$30,820.65 0.00% 0.00% \$0.00 \$95.41 \$42.39 \$6,944.0	00 \$74,556.48 \$0.00 \$112,458.93											
2006 \$34,228.46 0.00% 0.00% \$0.00 \$925.20 \$951.44 \$20,094.0	00 \$27,124.29 \$0.00 \$83,323.39											
Total Actual Costs (all years): \$230,510.66												

Site Name: **Kearsarge Metallurgical Corp.** Respondent Richard Pease Title: Supervisor Contact: Address: 29 Hazen Drive State: NH Zipcode: 3302 Concord (603) 271-3649 Phone: 4/20/2007 Email: rpease@des.state.nh.us Date:

Site Name:	Keefe E	nviron	mental Services											
CERCLISID:	NHD0920	059112			State: NH	Region: 1	Listing	g Date:	9/8/1983 F	PCC_OM Dat		6/30/2005		
Size:		7		Acreage Der	ived: March 26, 2003	Third Five-Year Re	eview							
Contamin	ation					Remedy								
Impacted	Media:	Gro	undwater			Remedy	Components: filtration, air stripping, vapor treatment, sludge dewatering and effluent disp							
✓ Media C	Cost Drive	Conta	minants of Concern:	TCE; PCE; 1,1-DCE 1,1,1-TCA; 1,4-diox	E; 1,2-DCA; benzene; T cane	HF;	an on-sit	e leach field	and an off-site	infiltrations tre	nch. Discov	very of 1,4-dioxane in treatment train. An		
COC Co.			Cost Driver - O M:	1,4-dioxane			ESD was	s signed docu	menting a chai	nge in treatme	nt technolo	ogy. A high pressure Site. The chemical		
Estimated	Quantity N	/ledia:	~140,000,000 gallo	ons since June 30, 20	005		feed serv	vice has beer	n discontinued a	and . The influ	ent flows a	high pressure		
Description	n Volume E	Estimate	Volume based on eplant of 20 gallons	estimated average inf per minute for 16 mo		adsorption	and carbon is adequately cumped from the							
Impacted	Media:	Soil					HiPOx ui	nit feed tank	through the rea	ctor where it is	s treated wi	ith hydrogen peroxide		
☐ Media C	Cost Drive	Conta	minants of Concern:	TCE; PCE; 1,1-DCE 1,1,1-TCA; 1,4-diox	E; 1,2-DCA; benzene; T		June 30,		arged on-site. T	he Site's O&M	l was trans	ferred to the State on		
COC Co.	st Driver	COC	Cost Driver - O _M:			Institutional	Controls?	• Yes C	No No					
Estimated	Quantity N	Лedia:										ent Permit has been		
Description	Nolume E	Estimate	:			the permit is	recorded in	the Registry	wn of Epping ac of Deeds on th control is neces	e property title	. The Stat			
						Are there prin			remedy planne	d but not yet		○ Yes ● No		
						Description of not construct								
Estimated	d Costs													
Estimated C	Cost Sourc		The 1988 ROD had pr and closure.	ojected groundwater	restoration would be ac	nieved in 10 years a	and therefor	re the only O	&M costs would	d essentially be	e those ass	sociated the monitoring		
Estimated C	Cost Descr	ription: \$	3145,000/year - Decei	mber 2004 Alternative	e Evaluation Report.									
Actual Co	nsts													
7 totaai O														

Site Name: Keefe Environmental Services

Actual Costs

What is the source of the actual costs? NHDES Accounting

Description of Actual Costs. Figures, accuracy, time-frame costs reflect, categories (e.g., capital, typical year, 10-year replacement costs, etc...)

Site transferred to O&M on June 30, 2005 and costs for 2005 are post-June 30, 2005. Costs are associated with operation of treatment system, sampling and analysis of groundwater and monitoring and maintaining ICs

If actual costs have significantly changed over time, what events can be attributed to this?

Higher costs for the six month period in 2005 (as opposed to the 12 month period in 2006) was due to unanticipated efforts to debug and optimize the modified treatment train which started in January 2005.

Has there been an optimization review? If so, what year was it conducted?

○ Yes ○ No

Source of funding: Are some costs still coming from EPA? How does the state pay for the long-term obligations?:

No federal funding. Source of State funds are General Funds.

Are there other concerns related to Long-Term Stewardship at the site? Remedy is protective. Concerns are primarily associated with long-term costs of monitoring and closure.

Actual Co	ost Table	Fringe	Indirect		Lab							
I	Personnel	Rate (%)	Rate (%)	Equipment	Travel	Supplies	Analytical	Contractual	Other	Total	Comments	
2005	\$6,490.95	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$187.67	\$960.00	\$148,308.96	\$0.0	90 \$155,947.58		
2006	\$17,093.71	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$33.21	\$175.29	\$7,200.00	\$108,236.18	\$0.0	90 \$132,738.39		

Total Actual Costs (all years): \$288,685.97

۱	Respond								
	Contact:	Richard Pease	Title: Supervisor						
	Address:	29 Hazen Drive	Drive						
		Concord	State:	NH	Zipcode:		3302		
	Phone:	(603) 271-3649							
	Email:	rpease @des,state,nh,us			Da	te:	4/20/2007		

01: 11	-												
	Sylvester			Nata NIII	Desire		0/0// DOO ON D						
				State: NH	Region: 1	Listing Date:	9/8/1983 PCC_OM Dat	4/8/2002					
Size:	28		Acreage Derived:	September 23, 2	2002 Explanation of	Significant Differences							
Contamin	nation				Remedy								
Impacted	l Media: Gro	undwater			Remedy Components:		Slurry wall and cap to contain so						
✓ Media C	Cost Drive Conta	nminants of Concern:	Vinyl Chloride, Benzene, Chloroform, PCE, TCE, MDCA, DCA,1,1-TCA, Toluchloride, Trans-1,2-DCA	IEK, 1,1,2-TCA, 1		s: ROD called for groundwater pump and treat. Treatment of 300 gpm starts in Attainment of ROD cleanup goals in 1996 and State O&M begins April 8, 200 Currently, Arsenic is an issue. No cleanup goal for Arsenic was in the ROD to concentrations exceed the State standard both inside and outside the slurry Monitoring and evaluations ongoing.							
✓ COC Co		Cost Driver - O _M:	Arsenic		Institutional C	ontrols? Yes) No						
Estimated	Quantity Media:	Unknown			Access restric		ce and signs. Groundwater use	restricted via a groundw	ıater				
Description	n Volume Estimate	: Not available			management		oc and signo. Croanawater asc	restricted via a groundw	atoi				
Impacted	l Media: Sed	liment					e remedy planned but not yet	◯ Yes . No	0				
✓ Media C	Cost Drive Conta	nminants of Concern:	Vinyl Chloride, Benzene, Chloroform, PCE, TCE, MDCA, DCA,1,1-TCA, Toluchloride, Trans-1,2-DCA	IEK, 1,1,2-TCA, 1	,1- Description of	implemented? primary components d/implemented:							
✓ COC Co	st Driver COC	Cost Driver - O _M:	Arsenic										
Estimated	Quantity Media:	Unknown											
Description	n Volume Estimate	: Not available											
Impacted	Media: Soil	1											
└ Media C	Cost Drive Conta	minants of Concern:	Vinyl Chloride, Benzene, Chloroform, PCE, TCE, M DCA, DCA,1,1-TCA, Toluc Chloride, Trans-1,2-DCA	IEK, 1,1,2-TCA, 1	,1-								
□ COC Co	st Driver COC	Cost Driver - O _M:											
Estimated	Quantity Media:	Unknown											
Description	n Volume Estimate	: Not available											
Impacted	Media: Sur	face water											
☐ Media C	Cost Drive Conta	nminants of Concern:	Vinyl Chloride, Benzene, Chloroform, PCE, TCE, M DCA, DCA,1,1-TCA, Toluc Chloride, Trans-1,2-DCA	IEK, 1,1,2-TCA, 1	,1-								
COC Co	st Driver COC	Cost Driver - O _M:											
Estimated	Quantity Media:	Unknown											
Description	n Volume Estimate	: Not available											
Estimated	d Costs												
Estimated (Cost Source:												

Estimated Cost Description: Estimated costs were prepared while preparing bid documents for O&M contract.

Site Name:	Sylvester

Actual Costs

What is the source of the actual costs?

Description of Actual Costs. Figures, accuracy, time-frame costs reflect, categories (e.g., capital, typical year, 10-year replacement costs, etc...)

Site was transferred to State O&M on April 8, 2002. Costs are related to maintaining site (i.e., maintaining empty treatment plant structure, maintaining integrity site cap and fence, sampling and analyze of site media, and monitoring and maintaining ICs.

If actual costs have significantly changed over time, what events can be attributed to this?

Costs increased significantly in 2005 (see Actual Table) due to the closure of an unused on-site landfill cell.

Has there been an optimization review? If so, what year was it conducted?

● Yes ○ No

Last Optimization Review was during LTRA.

Source of funding: Are some costs still coming from EPA? How does the state pay for the long-term obligations?:

No federal funds. Source of State funds are General Funds.

Are there other concerns related to Long-Term Stewardship at the site?

Elevated concentrations of arsenic outside the slurry wall need to be addresses and Institutional Controls may need to be expanded.

Actual Co	ost Table	Fringe	Indirect				Lab				
Personnel		Rate (%)	Rate (%)	Equipment	Travel	Supplies	Analytical	Contractual	Other	Total	Comments
2002	\$18,475.79	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$258.87	1,188.79	\$8,244.00	\$38,345.88	\$0.00	\$66,513.33	
2003	\$26,151.05	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$582.56	3,328.17	\$10,426.00	\$51,879.29	\$0.00	\$92,367.07	
2004	\$18,294.09	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$496.40	2,140.40	\$7,500.00	\$39,962.81	\$0.00	\$68,393.70	
2005	\$8,294.31	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$447.09	1,519.49	\$11,793.00	\$112,562.97	\$0.00	\$134,616.86	
2006	\$10,399.94	0.00%	0.00%	\$1,790.00	\$354.05	3,361.99	\$9,700.00	\$61,433.48	\$0.00	\$87,039.46	

Total Actual Costs (all years): \$448,930.42

Respond	Respondent											
Contact:	Richard Pease	Title:	Title: Supervisor									
Address:	29 Hazen Drive											
	Concord	State:	NH	Zipcode:		3302						
Phone:	(603) 271-3649											
Email:	rpease@des.state.nh.us			Da	ite:	4/20/2007						

Site Name:	Lang Drane	ut. ,						
	Lang Prope			State: NJ	Region: 2	Listing Date:	9/8/1983 PCC_OM Dat	9/13/1995
Size:	40		Acreage Derive			_ioig _aite.	0/0/1000 1 00_0 Dat	3/10/1330
Contamin	ation		-		Remedy			
Impacted	-	roundwater		_		Enclosure of the d	isposal area by a perimeter fence;	Excavation of contaminated
_		ntaminants of Concern:			Components:	soils to a depth of	2 feet and off-site disposal; Extract	tion of ~30 million gallons of
		OC Cost Driver - O M:					treatment and reinjection on-site	
	Quantity Media						Post construction operation and ma	intenance to revify the
	volume Estim				Institutional Co	effectiveness of th	•	
Impacted	Media: S	ediment				© 100		
\neg		ntaminants of Concern:					Exception Area December, 1993. N	No deed notice required.
COC Cos		OC Cost Driver - O _M:			Are there prima		the remedy planned but not yet	○ Yes ● No
_	Quantity Media					orimary component	s Remedy is complete.	
Description	Volume Estim	ate:			not constructed		Tromody to complete.	
Impacted	Media: S	oil						
✓ Media C	Cost Drive Co	ntaminants of Concern:	Primarily VOCs includi	ng TCE, PCE, toluen	э,			
			ethylbenzene, xylene,	others.				
COC Cos		OC Cost Driver - O _M:	TCE, PCE					
	Quantity Media	G. 19. 14.1.	cu yds. A total of 13,200 o					
Description	i volume Estim		, and, minimally surface we ground water (time to a					
			contamination was excarreatment plant may opera					
		achieve gw quality		ate briefly, if at all, to				
Impacted	Media: S	urface water						
☐ Media C	Cost Drive Co	ntaminants of Concern:						
COC Co.	st Driver Co	OC Cost Driver - O _M:						
	Quantity Media							
	Volume Estim	ate:						
Estimated		202						
Estimated C		ROD	-11-(00,400,000,1-1	-1 (1 100) 9				odan tan Orazana
Estimated C	Jost Description	. Original ROD estimate	30 COSt OF \$3,409,000 tot	ai for landfilling solls a	and operating the gro	ound water treatme	nt system and ground water monito	oring for 3 years.
Actual Co	osts							

Site Name:	Lang	Pro	perty
------------	------	-----	-------

Actual Costs

What is the source of the actual costs? EPA info; state 10% match funding authorizations

Description of Actual Costs. Figures, accuracy, time-frame costs reflect, categories (e.g., capital, typical year, 10-year replacement costs, etc...)

The excavation of additional soils, while increasing the soil remediation costs, contributed to not having to pump and treat ground water for a longer period of time past the 10 year LTRA timeframe.

If actual costs have significantly changed over time, what events can be attributed to this?

Has there been an optimization review? If so, what year was it conducted?

● Yes ○ No

5 Year review were performed 9/29/2005 and 9/25/2000. A formal optimization review was not conducted; however, 3 additional wells were installed to more effectively capture the ground water plume; and the additional soil removal was essentially part of an effort to expedite ground water remediation and reduce the State O&M time and cost to achieve ground water quality standards.

Source of funding: Are some costs still coming from EPA? How does the state pay for the long-term obligations?:

The LTRA was completed by EPA between Oct '06 and Jan 07, the latter date being the date responsibility for the site passed from EPA to the State. The State is funding any additional remediation costs. NJ funding projections currently estimate \$50K/yr to contact monitoring (only). Estimated treatment plant O&M costs, including monitoring, would be \$612/yr. Actual O&M costs incurred by USACOE were approximately \$660K/yr (source 5 year review).

Are there other concerns related to Long-Term Stewardship at the site?

A ground water Classification Exception Area was established in December 1993. No biennial certification were submitted (came into effect c!1998). Though 5 year reviews were adequate.

Respondent										
Contact:	Bob Soboleski	Title:	Bureau	ı Chief						
Address:	401 E. State St, PO Box 413									
	Trenton	State:	NJ	Zipcode:		8625				
Phone:	(609) 292-3215									
Email:	bob.soboleski@dep.state.nj.us			Da	te:	3/8/2007				

Site Name:	Strasbu	rg Landfill						
CERCLISID:			State: PA	Region: 3	Listing Date:	3/31/1989	PCC_OM Dat	9/27/1999
Size:	:	302	Acreage Derived:					
Contamin	ation			Remedy				
✓ Media Construction ✓ COC Construction Impacted ✓ Media Construction ✓ COC Construction	Media Cost Drive Contaminants of Concern: Base neurompound COC Cost Driver COC Cost Driver - O M: Witimated Quantity Media: Cost Driver Estimate: Cost Driver Coc Cost Driver - O M: Coc Cost Driver Coc Cost Driver - O M: Coc Cost Driver Coc Cost Driver - O M: Coc Cost Driver Contaminants of Concern: Coc Cost Driver Coc Cost Driver - O M: Coc Cost Driver Coc Cost Driver - O M: Coc Cost Driver Coc Cost Driver - O M: Coc Cost Driver Coc Cost Driver - O M: Coc Cost Driver Coc Cost Driver - O M: Coc Cost Driver Coc Cost Driver - O M: Coc Cost Driver Coc Cost Driver - O M: Coc Cost Driver Coc Cost Driver - O M: Coc Cost Driver Coc Cost Driver - O M: Coc Cost Driver Coc Cost Driver - O M: Coc Cost Driver Coc Cost Driver - O M: Coc Cost Driver Coc Cost Driver - O M: Coc Cost Driver Coc Cost Driver - O M: Coc Cost Driver Coc Cost Driver - O M: Coc Cost Driver Coc Cost Driver - O M: Coc Cost Driver Coc Cost Driver - O Dri		of water or other liquid based media treated, ed as of 12/8/06. Base neutral acids, metals, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) VOCs in groundwater and leachate were the drivers of the cleanup action.	Remedy Components:	(OU2) issued on 6 -Collection and off -Point of entry trea An Explanation of remedy specified in the collection of the c	issued on 1/3/90 modifying the construction of a security fending the three installation of a landfilled:		
Impacted	Media:	stabilized or remove	ed as of 12/8/06.		A fourth, and final further action other			rater at the site, specified no
	ost Drive st Driver Quantity M	Contaminants of Concern: COC Cost Driver - O _M: Media:	Base neutral acids, metals, volatile organic compounds (VOCs)	constructed or Description of	ontrols? Yes ary components of implemented? primary component d/implemented:	the remedy plann	ed but not yet	○ Yes ○ No
Impacted		Soil		Thot constructor	алпритенеч.			
Media Co	ost Drive		Base neutral acids, metals, volatile organic compounds (VOCs)					
COC Cos		COC Cost Driver - O _M:						
Estimated (, ,						
Description	Volume E	Estimate: 3,000,000 cubic ya stabilized or remove	rds of soil and other solid media treated, ed as of 12/8/06.					
Impacted	Media:	Solid waste						
☐ Media C	ost Drive	Contaminants of Concern:	Base neutral acids, metals, volatile organic compounds (VOCs)					
COC Cos	st Driver	COC Cost Driver - O _M:						
Estimated (Quantity N	1edia: 3,000,000 cubic ya	rds					
Description	Volume E	Estimate: 3,000,000 cubic yastabilized or remove	rds of soil and other solid media treated, ed as of 12/8/06.					

Site Name: Strasburg Landfill

Estimated Costs

Estimated Cost Source:

Estimated Cost Description: 10% Construction Match

Security fence around landfill (OU3)

Est. state cost= \$15,000

Leachate collection and disposal, installation of POETS (OU2)

Estimated state cost = \$20,000.

Operation and Maintenance (O & M) Costs

Initial Est. Leachate Collection & Treatment, POETS (1989 ROD) = \$4,500/yr

Initial Est. Security Fence = \$8,250/year x 20 yr

Actual Costs

What is the source of the actual costs?

Description of Actual Costs. Figures, accuracy, time-frame costs reflect, categories (e.g., capital, typical year, 10-year replacement costs, etc...)

10% Construction Match Actual state cost = \$19.027

Leachate collection and disposal, installation of POETS (OU2)

Actual state cost = \$41,213

Operation and Maintenance (O & M) Costs

Actual Total State O & M costs = \$270,000 per year.

If actual costs have significantly changed over time, what events can be attributed to this?

Initial costs for construction of the leachate collection system and offsite treatment and disposal of contaminated leachate were estimated at \$200,000 in 1989 following signing of the first ROD. Final construction costs were \$412,132, increasing the state's 10% match from \$20,000 to \$41,213. The increase in construction costs resulted from the failure of the Potentially Responsible Parties (PRPs) to continue offsite treatment and disposal of the collected leachate. EPA was, therefore, required to assume these costs. An Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD) was issued on 1/3/90 that modified the remedy from offsite treatment of leachate to onsite treatment. EPA continued to transport and dispose of the leachate while the onsite treatment system was designed and constructed resulting in increased construction costs. These increased construction costs produced a corresponding increase in the state's 10% match. The failure of the PRPs to implement the remedy also increased state O & M costs since the state had to bear the cost of O & M of the onsite leachate collection and treatment

Has there been an optimization review? If so, what year was it conducted?

()	Yes	()	No

Source of funding: Are some costs still coming from EPA? How does the state pay for the long-term obligations?:

10% construction match from the PA Hazardous Sites Cleanup Fund.

State share of O & M costs from PA Hazardous Sites Cleanup Fund.

Are there other concerns related to Long-Term Stewardship at the site?

Long-term maintenance of institutional controls to ensure that the landfill cap remains intact and functional over the long term.

	Respondent							
	Craig Olewiler, EGM	Title:						
Address:	POB 8471							
	Harrisburg	State:	PA	Zipcode:		17105		
Phone:	(717) 783-9284	•						
Email:	colewiler@state.pa.us			Da	te:	2/5/2007		

Site Name:	Moyers Landfill							
CERCLISID:	PAD980508766	State	: PA Reg	ion: 3	Listing Date:	9/8/1983	PCC_OM Dat	9/17/2002
Size:	65	9	7/2002 (CC)					
		La	ndfill Cap (OU1) – 3/ ⁻	1/98, 100% Sta	ate funded			
		Le	achate treatment (OL	J2) - 9/17/02 (I	EPA funded, 1 year)			
		1.4		10) 0/47/00 4	1000/ Ctata from dad			

		Leachate treatment Leachate treatment				
Contamination			Remedy			
Impacted Media: Media Cost Drive COC Cost Driver Estimated Quantity Media Description Volume Estimpacted Media:	stimate: Leachate	Contaminants (metals and organics) in surface water and leachate were the drivers of	Remedy Components:	- Grading - Constru - Capping - Installat - Collectic - Installat - Monitori In 1999, I Owned T	edy selected in the Record of Decision include g and leveling the site uction of retaining walls at highly erodible areas g the site with a low permeability soil tion of a gas venting system for the landfill ion of surface runoff and discharge directly to tion of a leachate collection and onsite treatmening of groundwater and surface waters. DEP petitioned EPA to modify the remedy to to reatment Works (POTW) rather than treatmen	creek ent system reat the leachate at a Publicly nt onsite. An Explanation of
COC Cost Driver Estimated Quantity Me Description Volume Es	stimate: 629,070 cubic yards treated, stabilized o 19,000,000 gallons	the cleanup action. Heavy metals, VOCs s of soil or other solid based media have been or removed as of 12/8/06. of water or other liquid based media have been or removed as of 12/8/06.	Institutional Co	ontrols? ary compo implemen orimary co	omponents	Yes No
COC Cost Driver Estimated Quantity Me Description Volume Es Impacted Media: Media Cost Drive COC Cost Driver Estimated Quantity Me Description Volume Es Impacted Media:	Soil Contaminants of Concern: COC Cost Driver - O _M: edia:	Contaminants (metals and organics) in				
✓ COC Cost Driver	COC Cost Driver - O _M:	surface water and leachate were the drivers of the cleanup action. Heavy metals, VOCs				

Description Volume Estimate: 629,070 cubic yards of soil or other solid based media have been treated, stabilized or removed as of 12/8/06.

19,000,000 gallons of water or other liquid based media have been treated, stabilized or removed as of 12/8/06.

Estimated Quantity Media:

Site Name:	Moyers	Landfill
------------	--------	----------

Estimated Costs

Estimated Cost Source:

Estimated Cost Description: 10% Construction Match

Estimated state cost = \$2,474,284

O & M

Estimated state cost = \$145,810 per year.

Actual Costs

What is the source of the actual costs?

Description of Actual Costs. Figures, accuracy, time-frame costs reflect, categories (e.g., capital, typical year, 10-year replacement costs, etc...)

10% Construction Match

Actual state costs = \$2,957,387

O & M

Actual state cost = \$217,000 per year.

Additional State Costs

= \$1,114,875 for construction of the sewer line to carry leachate to the POTW and to purchase treatment capacity at the POTW.

If actual costs have significantly changed over time, what events can be attributed to this?

While the remedy modification in the ESD to treat the leachate offsite at a POTW has resulted in additional up front costs incurred by the State, it is expected that treatment at a POTW will be more cost effective over the long term and more protective of human health and the environment than the original onsite leachate treatment remedy.

Has there been an optimization review? If so, what year was it conducted?

Source of funding: Are some costs still coming from EPA? How does the state pay for the long-term obligations?:

10% construction match from the PA Hazardous Sites Cleanup Fund.

State share of O & M costs from PA Hazardous Sites Cleanup Fund.

Are there other concerns related to Long-Term Stewardship at the site? Long-term maintenance of institutional controls to ensure that the landfill cap is not disturbed.

Respondent							
Contact:	Craig Olewiler, EGM	Title	:				
Address:	POB 8471						
	Harrisburg	State:	PA	Zipcode:		17105	
Phone:	(717) 783-9284						
Email:	colewiler@state.pa.us			Da	te:	2/5/2007	

ite Name: Berks Sand	l Pit							
CERCLISID: PAD98069179	94	State	e: PA	Region: 3	Listing Date:	9/21/1984 PCC_O	M Dat	6/28/1994
Size: 4	Acre	on	ne site is 4 acres in the time impacted of the swinship, PA.		undwater plume that at Longswamp			
Contamination				Remedy				
Impacted Media: G	Groundwater			Remedy		in the original Record		
COC Cost Driver C Estimated Quantity Media Description Volume Estim Impacted Media: S Media Cost Drive Co Estimated Quantity Media Description Volume Estim Impacted Media: S Media Cost Drive Co Estimated Quantity Media Description Volume Estim Impacted Media: S Media Cost Drive Co	cleanup acceptance contains as	ter inated groundwater			incineration. - Installation and ope - Construction and op and discharge of the - Construction of an a - Chemical and biolog - Restrictions to preven The remedy was late supply, the excavation treated groundwater. Significant Difference restrictions to preven need for vapor phase and ESD #3, respect system by adding in-seliminated the require	ration of a groundwater to peration of an air stripp treated groundwater to alternate water supply signal monitoring of surface to eliminate and disposition of the contaminate of the contaminate of the contaminate of the contaminate carbon adsorption we wely. ESD #4 further resitu chemical oxidation ement for chemical and	r extraction ser with vapor of the aquifer system. ace and grouter wells in the construction sal of sedime were detailed dwater clean ted aquifer for also eliminodified the set of the remeed biological manufacture and the sed aquifer for the remeed biological manufacture and the sed appropriate the sed appropriate the sed and the sed appropriate the sed and the sed appropriate the sed appropriate the sed and the sed appropriate the sed approp	r phase carbon adsorption via injection wells.
					primary components d/implemented:			
Estimated Costs								
Estimated Cost Source:								
Estimated Cost Description	n: 10% Construction Costs Original Estimate = \$586,496 O & M Original Estimate = \$145,000 per y	ear						
Actual Costs								

Site Name: Berks Sand Pit

Δ	ct	เเล	I C	OS	ets
	ıvı	ua		US	ιo

What is the source of the actual costs?

10% Construction Match Actual Cost = \$660,746

O & M

Actual Cost = \$176,000 per year

Description of Actual Costs. Figures, accuracy, time-frame costs reflect, categories (e.g., capital, typical year, 10-year replacement costs, etc...)

Original O & M cost estimates were made at the time the ROD was issued in 1983. The state assumed O & M twenty-two years later following construction, remedy modification, and ten years of O & M by EPA. This resulted in inflation linked cost increases. O & M costs also increased because the groundwater pump and treat system was in poor condition when turned over to the state after 10 years of operation by EPA. Many components of the system failed and had to be repaired or replaced.

If actual costs have significantly changed over time, what events can be attributed to this?

Has there been an optimization review? If so, what year was it conducted?

Source of funding: Are some costs still coming from EPA? How does the state pay for the long-term obligations?:

"10% construction match from the PA Hazardous Sites Cleanup Fund." State share of O & M costs from PA Hazardous Sites Cleanup Fund."

Are there other concerns related to Long-Term Stewardship at the site? None at this time.

Respondent							
Contact	: Craig Olewiler, EGM	Title:					
Address	: POB 8471						
	Harrisburg	State: PA	Zipcode:	17105			
Phone	(717) 783-9284						
Email	colewiler@state.pa.us		Date	2/5/2007			

Site Name: Byron Salvage							
CERCLISID: ILD010236230	State: IL	Region: 5	Listing Date:	9/8/1983 PCC_OM Dat	9/16/2003		
Size: 140 Acreage Derived	Legal description						
Contamination		Remedy					
Impacted Media: Groundwater			Excavation and remo monitor groundwater	val of contaminated soils, public wonly	rater supplied to all residents,		
✓ Media Cost Drive Contaminants of Concern: TCE, cyanide ✓ COC Cost Driver COC Cost Driver - O _M: TCE Estimated Quantity Media:		Institutional Cor	ntrols? • Yes C				
Description Volume Estimate:		Are there primar	y components of the	remedy planned but not yet	○ Yes ● No		
Impacted Media: Soil Media Cost Drive Contaminants of Concern: COC Cost Driver COC Cost Driver - O_M:		constructed or implemented? Description of primary components not constructed/implemented:					
Estimated Quantity Media: Description Volume Estimate:							
Impacted Media: Surface water							
Media Cost Drive Contaminants of Concern: COC Cost Driver COC Cost Driver - O _M: Estimated Quantity Media:							
Description Volume Estimate:							
Estimated Costs Estimated Cost Source: Actual expenditures							
Estimated Cost Description: \$20,000 per year in groundwater monitoring co	ete						
250 por your miground morning of	5.0						
Astro-LO astro							
Actual Costs What is the source of the actual costs? Agency expenditures							
Description of Actual Costs. Figures, accuracy, time-frame costs reflect, cate	gories (e.g. canital tyn	ical vear 10-vear n	enlacement costs et	c)			
Labor for collecting and analyzing groundwater samples.	gorios (c.g., capitai, typ	icai yeai, 10 yeai i	epiacement costs, ct	o <i>j</i>			
If actual costs have significantly changed over time, what events can be attri	outed to this?						
Has there been an optimization review? If so, what year was it conducted?							
○ Yes ● No							
Source of funding: Are some costs still coming from EPA? How does the sta	ate pay for the long-tern	n obligations?:					
State hazardous waste fund							
Are there other concerns related to Long-Term Stewardship at the site?							
Respondent							

Site Name:	Byron Salvage						
Contact:	Terry Ayers						
Address:	1021 North Grand Ave. East						
	Springfield	State:	IL	Zipcode:		62794	
Phone:	(217) 782-9875						
Email:	Terry.Ayers@Illinois.gov			Da	ite:	3/8/2007	

Site Name:	LaSalle Electric Utilities		
CERCLISID:		State: IL	Region: 5 Listing Date: 9/8/1983 PCC_OM Dat 2/28/1994
Size:	160 Acreage D	Perived: Surveyed	<u> </u>
Contamir	nation		Remedy
Impacted	Media: <i>Groundwater</i>		Remedy Incineration of PCB contaminated soils, groundwater pump and treat, SVE, Components: phytoremediation
✓ Media C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Institutional Controls? Yes No
	Quantity Media: NA		Are there primary components of the remedy planned but not yet • Yes • No
Description	n Volume Estimate:		constructed or implemented?
Impacted	Media: Sediment		Description of primary components Prohibition on groundwater use not constructed/implemented:
☐ Media C	Cost Drive Contaminants of Concern:		
	st Driver COC Cost Driver - O _M:		
Estimated	Quantity Media:		
Description	n Volume Estimate:		
Impacted	Media: Soil		
☐ Media C	Cost Drive Contaminants of Concern:		
	st Driver COC Cost Driver - O _M:		
Estimated	Quantity Media:		
Description	n Volume Estimate:		
Estimated	d Costs		
Estimated (Cost Source: Actual costs		
Estimated (Cost Description: \$60,000/year		
Actual C	osts		
What is the	source of the actual costs? Personnel costs and utility b	ills	
Description (of Actual Costs. Figures, accuracy, time-frame costs reflec	t, categories (e.g., capital, typ	pical year, 10-year replacement costs, etc)
Annual cost			
If actual cos	ts have significantly changed over time, what events can b	e attributed to this?	
Not much v	ariation in costs		
Has there be	een an optimization review? If so, what year was it conduc	ted?	
○ Yes (• No		
Source of fu	nding: Are some costs still coming from EPA? How does	the state pay for the long-term	n obligations?:
	dous Waste Fund		
Are there otl	ner concerns related to Long-Term Stewardship at the site	? No	
Respond	ent		
•			

Site Name:	LaSalle Electric Utilities						
Contact:	Terry Ayers	Title:	Nation	al Priorities I	List		
Address:	1021 North Grand Ave.						
	East Springfield	State:	IL	Zipcode:		62794	
Phone:	(217) 782-9875						
Email:	Terry.Ayers@Illinois.gov			Da	te:	3/8/2007	

Site Name:	Lake Sandy Jo (Superfund	Site)								
	IND980500524	опретина	Onc,	Sta	ate: IN	Reg	gion: 5	Listing Date:	9/8/1983 PCC_C	DM Dat	2/1/1994
Size:	40		Acrea	age Derived:	Per NPL si	ite descriptio	n				
Contamin	ation					R	Remedy				
Impacted	Media: Groun	ndwater							rised of an on-site disp		
✓ Media C	Cost Drive Contami	nants of Cond	cern: Benzene			(over, installation of gro , and implementation o		itoring system, alternative ontrols.
✓ COC Co		ost Driver - O					Institutional Con	1133	•		
Estimated	Quantity Media:	N/A						© les (
Description	Volume Estimate:	Groundwater					•	•	September 1994. ICs in	•	is pending.
							onstructed or im		remedy planned but	not yet	◯ Yes ◯ No
							Description of pri not constructed/i	imary components mplemented:			
Estimated	d Costs										
	Cost Source: RO	D									
Estimated C	Cost Description: RO	D estimated/p	projected O&M cost	s were \$63,000) per year.	No detailed	cost analysis for	r estimated costs wa	as available.		
		·	·				·				
Actual C			4551A								
	source of the actual o		e (IDEM) accountin	0 ,	,		10	, , ,			
-	of Actual Costs. Figur									- 2004 thus	2000 for which itemined
	olease see the "Actua ailable at this time.	al-Table." The	e actual costs includ	ded typicai year	iy/annuai c	costs of perso	onnei, iab, contra	actuai. The actual c	osts are provided from	n 2001 through	2006 for which itemized
If actual cost	s have significantly o	hanged over	time, what events of	can be attribute	d to this?						
The actual of	costs have been grad	lually change	d based on contrac	tual and analyti	ical costs.						
Has there be	en an optimization re	eview? If so,	what year was it co	nducted?							
○ Yes ④	No										
Source of fur	nding: Are some cos	ts still coming	g from EPA? How o	does the state p	oay for the	long-term ob	ligations?:				
No EPA fun	ding was available si	nce the begir	nning of O&M in 199	94. The O&M fu	ınding (100	0%) is from S	state's Hazardou	s Substances Trus	t Fund.		
Are there oth	ner concerns related	to Long-Term	Stewardship at the	e site? Not	at this time).					
Actual Co	st Table										
F	Personnel	Fringe Rate (%)	Indirect Rate (%) Equipme	ent Travel	Supplies	Lab Analytical	Contractual	Other	Total	Commen	ts
2001	\$27,469.00	0.00%	0.00% \$0.		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10,000.00	\$0.00	\$37,469.00	G 0	
2002	\$23,959.00	0.00%	0.00% \$0.	.00 \$0.00	\$0.00	\$6,271.00	\$3,320.00	\$0.00	\$33,550.00		
2003	\$18,402.00	0.00%	0.00% \$0.	.00 \$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,295.00	\$54,104.00	\$0.00	\$77,801.00		
2004	\$25,279.00	0.00%	· ·	.00 \$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,950.00	\$13,240.00	\$0.00	\$43,469.00		
2005	\$26,252.00	0.00%		.00 \$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,750.00	\$17,235.00	\$0.00	\$47,237.00		
2006	\$27,596.00	0.00%		.00 \$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,550.00	\$17,475.00	\$0.00	\$50,621.00		
Total Act	ual Costs (all ye	ars): \$290),147.00								
Pasnand	ont										

Site Na	me:	Lake Sandy Jo (Superfund	Site)					
Con	tact:	Prabhakar Kasarabada	Title:	Project	t Manager/E	nvir	onmental Manager	
Addr	ess:	IDEM, 100 North Senate Avenue	Rm# 11	01				
		Indianapolis	State:	IN	Zipcode:		46204	
Pho	one:	(317) 234-0352						
En	nail:	pkasarab@idem.IN.gov			Da	te:	2/8/2007	

Site Name:	Deugles	Dood Londfill									
CERCLISID:		Road Landfill			State: IN	R	tegion: 5	Listing Date:	3/31/1989 PC	C OM Dat	9/19/2000
Size:		36	Ac	reage Derived				Lieting Date:	0/01/1000 1 0	-0_0M	3/13/2000
			,		· Currey		_				
Contamir			_	_	_		Remedy	The Contractor		't- D' O-	and the cool of the color
Impacted	d Media:	Groundwater					Remedy Components:				p with a GCL Soil Barrier of landfill gas. The third
☐ Media C	Cost Drive	Contaminants of Concern:						component is to di	g perimeter ditches	to collect surface	water drainage. The fourth
	ost Driver	COC Cost Driver - O _M:						•	•	and source mor	nitoring to ensure that the
Estimated	Quantity M	edia:					to - 66 - 6 1 O -	goals of this action			
Description	n Volume E	stimate:					Institutional Co	ontrols? • Yes	○ No		
Impacted	d Media:	Soil					Land use restri	ctions			
✓ Media (Cost Drive	Contaminants of Concern:	Dioxins/E	Dibenzofurans,	Metals, PAH, PC	CBs,	Are there prima constructed or	,	the remedy planned	but not yet	Yes No
✓ COC Co	ost Driver	COC Cost Driver - O _M:	Dioxins/E	Dibenzofurans,	Metals, PAH, PC	CBs.		orimary component	S		
	Quantity M	edia:	VOCs				not constructed	d/implemented:			
	-	302400 gallons									
Description	n Volume E	stimate: 302,400 gallons of landfill.	RCRA haz	ardous waste	were disposed a	it the					
Estimate	d Costs										
Estimated (Cost Source	ROD http://cfpub.epa.	gov/superr	ods/index.cfm?	fuseaction=data	.rodinfo8	&id=0501696&m	Rod=05016961995	ROD288		
Estimated (Cost Descrip	otion:									
Actual C	osts										
What is the	source of th	e actual costs? Invoices a	and time &	effort report							
Description	of Actual Co	osts. Figures, accuracy, time	-frame cos	ts reflect, cate	gories (e.g., capi	ital, typic	al year, 10-year	replacement costs,	etc)		
Initial year =	= \$42,010	Year Two = \$35,247 Year	Three = \$	47,649							
If actual cos	ts have sigr	nificantly changed over time,	what even	ts can be attrib	outed to this?						
Year Three	required las	ndfill cap rutting and settling	repairs (\$5	5,250)							
Has there be	een an optin	mization review? If so, what	year was it	t conducted?							
Yes (⊃ No	2003									
Source of fu	nding: Are	some costs still coming from	EPA? Ho	w does the sta	nte pay for the lor	ng-term (obligations?:				
		Response Trust Fund.			, ,	J					
Are there ot	her concern	s related to Long-Term Stev	ardship at	the site?							
Respond	lent										
	Kevin D. H	erron	e: Enviro	nmental Mana	ger II						
		Senate Avenue, IGC-N, Rm.									
	Indianapoli		_	Zipcode:		46204					
Phone:	(317) 234-0	0354									
Email:	kherron@id	dem.IN.gov		Date	: 2/	/7/2007					

Site Name:	Grand T	raverse	Overall Supply						
	MID0174		O voi aiii ouppily	S	tate: MI	Region: 5	Listing Date:	9/8/1983 PCC_OM Dat	9/17/1992
Size:		2		Acreage Derived:	Information taker	n from site file	, ,		
Contamin	ation					Remedy			
Impacted		Grou	ndwater			Remedy		rating (since end of 2005) to remed	
✓ Media C	Cost Drive	Contam	inants of Concern:	Trichloroethylene, tetrachi dichaloroetheylene, trans dichaloroetheylene vinyl c	1,2	,2 Components:	months; source area	entary school; groundwater monitorials are anticipated to be excavated expressurization units; indoor aird as a removal	ted and removed from under
COC Cos			Cost Driver - O _M:	Trichloroethylene, tetrachi dichaloroetheylene, trans	1,2		O les		
			Halmanna	dichaloroetheylene vinyl o	hloride		stitutional contrails ha	•	
Description	. \/=/		Unknown			Are there prima constructed or		remedy planned but not yet	Yes \(\cap \) No
Description							primary components	SVE system may be expanded t	o troat groundwater plume:
Impacted		Indoo				not constructed		source area soils are proposed t	
✓ Media C	Cost Drive	Contam	inants of Concern:	Trichloroethylene, tetrachi dichaloroetheylene, trans dichaloroetheylene vinyl c	1,2	,2			
✓ COC Cos Estimated			Cost Driver - O _M:	Trichloroethylene, tetrachi dichaloroetheylene, trans dichaloroetheylene vinyl c	1,2	,2			
			Unknown						
Description	Volume E	stimate:	Unknown						
Impacted	Media:	Soil							
☐ Media C	ost Drive	Contam	inants of Concern:						
✓ COC Cos Estimated			Cost Driver - O M:	Trichloroethylene, tetrachl dichaloroetheylene, trans dichaloroetheylene vinyl c	1,2	,2			
			Unknown						
Description	Volume E	stimate:	Unknown						
Impacted	Media:	Surfa	ice water						
☐ Media C	ost Drive	Contam	inants of Concern:						
✓ COC Co.			Cost Driver - O _M:	Trichloroethylene, tetrach		,2			
Estimated	Quantity M	1edia:		dichaloroetheylene, trans dichaloroetheylene vinyl c					
			Unknown						
Description	Volume E	stimate:	Unknown						
Ectimotos	d Cooto					•			

Legal documentation not yet established; new ROD is expected to be developed for the site; currently O&M funding costs for SVE and groundwater sampling being paid by Estimated Cost Source:

State of Michigan funding

Estimated Cost Description: \$200,000 O&M costs for FY07 and FY08 total for biennium; depending on State of Michigan Budget status, funding will continue into FY09; if no State funds available,

funding will be transferred to EPA. USEPA would have actual costs for FY06; state contract not yet in lace for the current biennium so detailed costs for table not yet available.

Site Name: Grand Traverse Overall Supply

Actu	al C	osts
------	------	------

What is the source of the actual costs? O&M of current SVE system; groundwater monitoring

Description of Actual Costs. Figures, accuracy, time-frame costs reflect, categories (e.g., capital, typical year, 10-year replacement costs, etc...)

Monthly tasks include the following: four weekly trips to check and pump out the moisture knock out pumps; two alarm call outs responses for system check and restart; monthly utilities, one SVE influent/effluent air sample collection, sample handling and shipping to MDEQ laboratory, system operations log summarizing flow and vacuum/pressure readings, volumes of water transferred and air sample dates. Monthly average cost is \$3100.00 (based on contractor's information working for USEPA over the past year and for use in upcoming contract).

If actual costs have significantly changed over time, what events can be attributed to this?

No actual costs have changed during the one year plus O&M of the SVE system

Has there been an optimization review? If so, what year was it conducted?

Source of funding: Are some costs still coming from EPA? How does the state pay for the long-term obligations?:

As of February 2007, all costs are covered by MDEQ. EPA funding ended at the end of January 2007.

Are there other concerns related to Long-Term Stewardship at the site? MDEQ funding beyond FY08 dependent on resolution of State's Budget crisis.

Respond	Respondent										
Contact:	Cindy Fairbanks	Title:	Enviro	nmental Qua	ality	Analyst 12					
Address:	onsititution Hall 3rd Floor South PO Box 30426										
	Lansing	State:	MI	Zipcode:		48909					
Phone:	(517) 335-4111	517) 335-4111									
Email:	fairbanc@michigan.gov	rbanc@michigan.gov									

Site Name: Thomas Solvent Raymond Road Source Area	of VWF	
CERCLISID: MID039993902	State: MI	Region: 5 Listing Date: 9/8/1983 PCC_OM Dat 5/6/2002
Size: 1 Acreage	Derived: 1 for the TSRR s	ource area; approx 1 sq. mi for "site"
Contamination		Remedy
Impacted Media: Air		Remedy SOILS: SVE operated 1988-1992 w/ ~ 9 mo down time; EPA collected soil samples Components: in 1992 that indicated soils seemed mostly clean w/ exception of a few locations.
Media Cost Drive Contaminants of Concern:		2005: MDEQ collected additional soil samples using CH3OH preservation. Results
☐ COC Cost Driver COC Cost Driver - O _M:		indicated a few hot spots in the vados zone and contamination still present in smear zone. Currently evaluating how to proceed (possible air sparge pilot).
Estimated Quantity Media:		GROUNDWATER: Ongoing pump and treat (air stripper) since 1987 with off-gas
Description Volume Estimate:		being treated with carbon. Need for continued carbon and/or air stripper use currently being evaluated.
Impacted Media: Groundwater		1 7 7 10 110
,	ene, tetrachloroethylene, cis 1,	,2
	ene, vinyl chloride ene, tetrachloroethylene, cis 1,	Are there primary components of the remedy planned but not yet Ores No constructed or implemented?
	ene, vinyl chloride	Description of primary components Evaluating possible supplemental treatment to address
Unknown		not constructed/implemented: remaining vadose and smear zone contamination. Possible
Description Volume Estimate: Unknown		remedies include limited SVE and/or sparging.
Impacted Media: Soil		
	ene, tetrachloroethylene, cis 1, ene, vinyl chloride	2
	ene, tetrachloroethylene, cis 1, ene, vinyl chloride	.2
Unknown		
Description Volume Estimate: Unknown		
Estimated Costs		
Estimated Cost Source: 1985 ROD		
Estimated Cost Description: Per 1985 ROD for the TSRR source at	ea, capital costs were estimate	ted at \$1,248,000, Annual O&M at \$90,000/year with anticipated remediation complete within three years
Actual Costs		
Addul 900to		

Site Name: Thomas Solvent Raymond Road Source Area of VWF

Actual Costs

What is the source of the actual costs? O&M of current groundwater extraction and treatment system; groundwater monitoring

Description of Actual Costs. Figures, accuracy, time-frame costs reflect, categories (e.g., capital, typical year, 10-year replacement costs, etc...)

Monthly tasks include the following: three times per week site visits to check out groundwater extraction and treatment equipment; bimonthly sampling of one extraction well; monthly sampling of air stripper influent/effluent; annual sampling of monitoring wells and one extraction well; analytical costs; general maintenance of groundwater extraction wells and air stripper system; monthly utilities; annual monitoring report. Monthly average cost (over the past year) is \$10,000.00 (this does not include some of the periodic high priced maintenance costs such as extraction well testing and rehabilitation, air stripper packing replacement, or carbon replacement).

If actual costs have significantly changed over time, what events can be attributed to this?

System is twenty years old-extraction wells not operating optimally anymore and need additional testing and maintenance; discharge line had to be replaced (poor EPA design); duration of remedy much longer than anticipated; residual soil contamination left by EPA unexpected and resulting in much longer pump and treat even though on-site groundwater contaminant concentrations are low (but still above cleanup criteria); due to impact from another source area, need to move one extraction well and install product recovery system; due to residual vadose and saturated soil (smear zone) contamination, need to conduct feasibility study and hopefully implement treatment.

Has there been an optimization review? If so, what year was it conducted?

Source of funding: Are some costs still coming from EPA? How does the state pay for the long-term obligations?:

As of May 6, 2002, all costs are covered by MDEQ.

Are there other concerns related to Long-Term Stewardship at the site?

MDEQ funding beyond FY08 dependent on resolution of State's Budget crisis.

Actual Co	ost Table	Fringe	Indirect			Lab				
1	Personnel	Rate (%)	Rate (%) Equipment	Travel	Supplies	Analytical	Contractual	Other	Total	Comments
2002	\$1,905.00	19.08%	0.00% \$0.00	\$449.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$222.00	\$2,495.00	\$5,071.00	5/7/02 started 100% State funding (not
										including some transitional work still charged to the EPA grant. Costs provided constitute state costs paid for balance of FY02. (Not representative of overall state costs)
2003	\$10,770.00	18.59%	0.00% \$0.00	\$581.00	2,824.00	\$0.00	\$49,689.00	\$36,080.00	\$99,944.00	FY03-check with Esther how much of Other is Lab costs
2004	\$27,668.00	19.56%	0.00% \$0.00	1,548.00	2,984.00	\$0.00	\$73,070.00	\$30,450.00	\$135,720.00	FY04-check with Esther how much of Other is Lab costs
2005	\$20,371.00	19.13%	0.00% \$0.00	\$677.00	\$31.00	\$19,998.00	\$155,307.00	\$37,443.00	\$233,827.00	FY05
2006	\$19,131.00	13.74%	0.00% \$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10,602.00	\$233,365.00	\$47,134.00	\$310,232.00	FY06
Total Act	ual Costs (all ve	ars): \$784	1.794.00							

Respond	dent	_								
Contact:	Beth Mead-O'Brien	Title	: Enviro	nmental Qua	lity Analyst 12					
Address:	onsitituion Hall 3rd Floor South PO Box 30426									
	Lansing	State:	MI	Zipcode:	48909					
Phone:	(517) 335-3098		-							
Email:	obrienea@michigan.gov			Dat	e:					

Site Name:	Lincoln	Fields											
CERCLISID:	OHD000	00020487				Sta	ate: OH	Regi	on: 5	Listing Date:	PC	C_OM Dat	3/31/1999
Size:		0			Acreage I	Derived:							
Contamii	nation							R	emedy				
Impacted		Grour	ndwater					R	Remedy Th				ntation of a ground water
✓ Media	Cost Drive	Contami	nants of Con	cern: PCE						ump and treatm ommunity.	ent system and devel	opment of a munic	cipal water supply for a
✓ COC Co			ost Driver - O	_M: PCE				Ir	nstitutional Cont	, ,	No		
Estimated	Quantity I	Лedia:	Information n	ot available)					<u> </u>			
Description	n Volume l	Estimate:	NA						re there primary onstructed or im		the remedy planned	but not yet	Yes No
									escription of prin ot constructed/in		ts The primary elemented as		dial alternative were
Estimate	d Costs												
Estimated		e: End	ineering Eva	luation/Cos	t Analysis (E	E/CA)							
Estimated	Cost Desci	com	nmunity) was not develop	\$8.3 million ed as a seri	n. This estimies of annual	nate relates I costs . As	to the capit per the agi	tal expenses reement betv	associated with	the remedy ar and Ohio EPA,		s an aggregate do	ipal water supply for the Ilar figure. The estimation sts would be the
L													
Actual C	osts												
What is the		the actual c	osts? Ohi	o EPA time	accounting a	and fiscal a	ccounting s	systems (Cor	ntact: Teri McCl	osky, Division o	f Emergency and Re	medial Response,	central office)
Description	of Actual C	Costs. Figui	es, accuracy	, time-frame	e costs refle	ct, categorie	es (e.g., cap	oital, typical y	∕ear, 10-year re _l	placement cost	s, etc)		
worked*27. as follows: indirect not worked*1.5 fringe costs	.18%)+(hrs (rte of pay based upo .1*10.33)+(s, a formula	worked*4. *hrs worke on a rate or hrs worke a for operat	14) +(hrs word)+(rte of pay percentage, 1*1.47)+(hrs ving expenses	rked*3.95)+ y*hrs worke calculation worked*1.51 s and lastly	(hrs worked*d*27.18%)+(is as follows 1*1.47). The a formula for	*0.24*3.95) (19.45*1.48 s: (rte of pa component r equipmen	+(hrs worked) *hrs worked y*hrs worked ts of the abd t costs. FEE	ed*0.71)+(hrs d)+(hrs work ed)+(rte of pa bove calculati DERAL COS	s worked*0.24*0 ed*5.63) +(hrs v ay*hrs worked*2 ions consist of a	0.71). Calculation worked*1.48*5.6 44.71%)+(hrs worked formula for the NINCURRED F	3)+(hrs worked*1.01) orked*1.51*19.59) +(h	ed upon a rate or p +(hrs worked*1.48 irs worked*10.33)+ ge costs, a formula	oercentage, calculation is 3*1.01). Calculation for -(hrs a for indirect salary and
If actual cos	sts have sig	gnificantly o	hanged over	time, what	events can l	be attribute	d to this?						
Has there b	een an opt	imization re	eview? If so,	what year v	was it condu	cted?							
○ Yes	No												
			ts still comin				-						
	•						al Action Al	llocation of s	tate fund 505.				
Are there ot	her concer	ns related	to Long-Term	n Stewardsh	nip at the site				, ,		to ensure that the op uipment replacement		enance costs can be more
Actual Co	ost Tabl	е	Fringe	Indirect				Leh					
	Personnel		Rate (%)		Equipment	Travel	Supplies	Lab Analytical	Contractual	Other	Total	Commer	nts
1987		\$0.00	24.00%	57.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		
1989		\$0.00	24.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		
1990		\$0.00	27.18%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		

Site Name: Lincoln Fields

Actual Co	ost Table	Fringe	Indirect				Lab				
F	Personnel	Rate (%)	Rate (%)	Equipment	Travel	Supplies	Analytical	Contractual	Other	Total	Comments
1991	\$0.00	27.18%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
1992	\$0.00	24.71%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
1993	\$3,547.36	20.92%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,547.36	
1994	\$14,280.84	20.40%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$14,280.84	
1995	\$20,301.06	20.33%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$12,180.78	\$61,403.00	\$0.00	\$93,884.84	
1996	\$7,100.71	24.37%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$6,378.25	\$206.48	\$0.00	\$13,685.44	
1997	\$914.91	24.64%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$914.91	
1998	\$39,335.28	24.94%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,090.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$44,425.28	
1999	\$54,564.10	27.79%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$27,495.00	\$47,190.66	\$9,195.12	\$138,444.88	
2000	\$61,126.04	27.79%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$13,530.00	\$38,757.09	\$16,617.73	\$130,030.86	
2001	\$59,549.51	30.25%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7,118.00	\$70,836.74	\$13,703.28	\$151,207.53	
2002	\$55,123.16	30.25%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$9,900.00	\$36,152.52	\$14,218.49	\$115,394.17	
2003	\$94,634.20	31.85%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$17,730.00	\$41,019.11	\$16,549.86	\$169,933.17	
2004	\$92,646.97	31.85%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$14,869.80	\$74,585.62	\$11,616.24	\$193,718.63	
2005	\$127,676.08	31.85%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$12,398.63	\$304,303.87	\$11,693.21	\$456,071.79	
2006	\$105,626.77	31.85%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$13,996.11	\$92,910.34	\$22,499.57	\$235,032.79	

Total Actual Costs (all years): \$1,760,572.49

Respond	ent	_									
Contact:	Edward Onyia	Title:	Site Co	oordinator							
Address:	347 North Dunbridge Road										
	Bowling Green	Bowling Green State: OH Zipcode: 43402									
Phone:	(419) 373-3037										
Email:	edward.onyia@epa.state.oh.us		Date	e: 2/1/2007							

	Old Mill									
CERCLISID:	OHD980510200			State	e: OH	Region: 5	Listing Date:	9/8/1983 P	PCC_OM Dat	8/18/1989
Size:	13		Acreage Derive	ed: ta	ken from 3r	d 5-year review				
Contamin	ation					Remedy				
Impacted	Media: Grou	ndwater				Remedy				l of 95% of the contaminants in
✓ Media C		inants of Conc	ern: Trichloroethene, dichl DCE, vinyl chloride, 1 ethylbenzene, zylene,	,1,1-tric			ground water ext target ground wa restrictions on th	traction and treatment ter risk level of 10E- e ground water for a	nt for an estima -5 is achieved; is long as conc	esite and disposal of debris; ated period of 30 years, until a and placement of use entrations in the plume remain
✓ COC Cos	st Driver COC C	ost Driver - O	.M: TCE					arcinogenic risk leve		
Estimated	Quantity Media:					Institutional	Controls? • Yes	s O No		
Description	Volume Estimate:					Are there pr	rimary components o	f the remedy planne	d but not vet	O Vee O Ne
Impacted	Media: Soil						or implemented?	, , , ,		Yes ● No
☐ Media C	Cost Drive Contam	inants of Conc	ern: Trichloroethene, dichl DCE, vinyl chloride, 1 ethylbenzene, zylene.	,1,1-tric		.,.	of primary compone cted/implemented:	nts		
	st Driver COC C	ost Driver - O	, , ,	,						
		4,300 cubic ya								
	Nolume Estimate:	-	1100							
Estimated										
Estimated C		B5 ROD								
Estimated C	Cost Description: Ab	out \$4,440,000) for the overall remedy and	\$45,000	annually fo	or Operation and Mai	intenance (i.e., to op	erate the ground wat	ter extraction a	nd treatment system).
Estimator	d Cost Table		<u> </u>				<u> </u>			<u> </u>
		Fringe	Indirect					0.1		
Year	Personnel	Rate (\$)	Rate (\$) Equipment T	\$0.00	Supplies	Lab Analytical	Contractual	Other	O	ther
1	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$37,000.00 \$37,000.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	}	
2	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00		
4	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00		
5	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00		
6	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00		
7	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00	1	
8	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00	i	
9	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00	1	
10	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00		
11	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00		
12	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$8,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00		
13	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$8,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00		
14	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$8,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00		
15	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00		
16	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$8,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00		

\$0.00

\$0.00

\$0.00

\$0.00

\$0.00

\$0.00

\$0.00

\$0.00

\$0.00

\$0.00

\$0.00

\$0.00

\$37,000.00

\$37,000.00

\$37,000.00

\$0.00 \$8,000.00

\$0.00 \$8,000.00

\$0.00 \$8,000.00

\$0.00

\$0.00

\$0.00

\$0.00

\$0.00

\$0.00

17

18

19

Site Name:	Old Mill								
20	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00
21	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00
22	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00
23	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00
24	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00
25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00
26	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00
27	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00
28	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00
29	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00
30	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37,000.00	\$0.00

Total Estimated Costs (all years): \$1,350,000.00

Actual Costs

What is the source of the actual costs? Ohio EPA time accounting and fiscal accounting systems (Contact: Teri McClosky, Division of Emergency and Remedial Response, central office)

Description of Actual Costs. Figures, accuracy, time-frame costs reflect, categories (e.g., capital, typical year, 10-year replacement costs, etc...)

See actual cost table.

If actual costs have significantly changed over time, what events can be attributed to this?

On November 30, 2006, the ground water extraction and treatment system was shut down and the 4-year enhanced MNA trial period began.

Has there been an optimization review? If so, what year was it conducted?

Yes No formal Optimization Review was conducted; however, the MNA will increase costs initially with the hope for significantly reduced costs after the 4-year pilot study.

Source of funding: Are some costs still coming from EPA? How does the state pay for the long-term obligations?:

All state future response costs are to be reimbursed by the settling performing parties in accordance with the consent decree signed on March 28th, 2002.

Are there other concerns related to Long-Term Stewardship at the site? During the trial period, the plu

During the trial period, the plume will be monitored so that contamination does not travel off-site. Contingency measures will be conducted which may include installation of additional monitoring wells or re-activating the pump/treatment system.

Actual C	ost Table	Fringe	Indirect				Lab				
	Personnel	Rate (%)	Rate (%)	Equipment	Travel	Supplies	Analytical	Contractual	Other	Total	Comments
1987	\$2,489.52	24.00%	57.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$780,000.00	\$0.00	\$782,489.52	
1988	\$2,489.52	24.00%	57.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,489.52	
1989	\$2,489.52	24.00%	57.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,489.52	
1990	\$3,683.45	27.18%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,683.45	Calculation for indirect not based upon a
											rate or percentage, calculation is as follows: (rte of pay*hrs worked)+(rte of pay*hrs worked*27.18%)+(hrs worked*4.14) +(hrs worked*3.95)+(hrs worked*0.24*3.95)+(hrs worked*0.24*0.71)
1991	\$1,439.86	27.18%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,439.86	Calculation for indirect not based upon a rate or percentage, calculation is as follows: (rte of pay*hrs worked)+(rte of pay*hrs worked*27.18%)+(19.45*1.48*hrs worked) +(hrs worked*5.63) +(hrs worked*1.48*5.63)+(hrs worked*1.48*1.01)

Site Name: Old Mill

Actual Cost Table Fringe Indirect Lab Paragraph Para (%) Para (%) Equipment Travel Supplies Applitical Contractual Other Table Comments												
1	Personnel	Rate (%)	Rate (%) E	quipment	Travel	Supplies	Analytical	Contractual	Other	Total	Comments	
1992	\$2,274.22	24.71%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,274.22	Calculation for indirect not based upon a rate or percentage, calculation is as follows: (rte of pay*hrs worked)+(rte of pay*hrs worked*24.71%)+(hrs worked*1.51*19.59) +(hrs worked*10.33)+(hrs worked*1.51*10.33)+(hrs worked*1.51*10.33)+(hrs worked*1.47)+(hrs worked*1.51*1.47)	
1993	\$19,911.93	20.92%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$19,911.93		
1994	\$12,697.79	20.40%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$12,697.79		
1995	\$48,457.23	20.33%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$48,457.23		
1996	\$20,522.60	24.37%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$20,522.60		
1997	\$7,783.57	24.64%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7,783.57		
1998	\$14,495.32	24.94%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$14,495.32		
1999	\$16,922.60	27.79%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,922.60		
2000	\$24,435.39	30.25%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,205.75	\$98.43	\$27,739.57		
2001	\$17,548.26	30.25%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$138,736.44	\$8,971.19	\$165,255.89		
2002	\$7,872.82	31.85%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$65,193.67	\$5,451.72	\$78,518.21		
2003	\$7,786.93	31.85%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7,786.93		
2004	\$5,643.57	31.85%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,643.57		
2005	\$5,804.55	31.85%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,804.55		
2006	\$11,653.66	31.85%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$11,653.66		

Total Actual Costs (all years): \$1,238,059.51

Respond	lent					
Contact:	Andrew Kocher	Title	Site Co	oordinator		
Address:	21110 East Aurora Road					
	Twinsburg	State:	ОН	Zipcode:		44087
Phone:	(330) 963-1249					
Email:	andrew.kocher@epa.state.oh.us			Dat	te:	2/5/2007

Site Name:	New Lyn	ne Landfill								
CERCLISID:				State: OH	Region: 5	Listing Date:	9/8/1983	PCC_OM Dat		12/29/1992
Size:		40	Acreage Derive	d: Taken from 2nd	5-year review					
Contamin	nation				Remedy					
Impacted		Groundwater			Remedy Components:	 Installation of RCI extraction/containment 				
✓ Media C		Contaminants of Concern:	Base neutral acids, dic inorganics, metals, PA asbestos and heavy m leachate samples.	Hs, PCBs, pesticides	5,	eliminate leachate punder the cap; * Trometals removal by Northe treatment system	oroduction; * Or eatment of extra NaOH precipitati m becomes unn	nsite consolidati acted ground wa ion, and granula ecessary (after	on of contater using par activated about 15 y	aminated sediment pH adjustment, biodisc, d carbon finishing until years); * Installation of
COC Co	ost Driver Quantity M	COC Cost Driver - O _M: ledia:	tetrachloroethane, met chloroform for ground			a ground water mon perimeter fence.	nitoring system a	around the site	perimeter;	* Erection of a
		Not sure			Institutional C	controls?	No			
Description	n Volume E	stimate: See above			Are there prim	ary components of th	e remedy plann	ed but not vet		
Impacted	d Media:	Sediment				r implemented?				O res • No
☐ Media C	Cost Drive	Contaminants of Concern:	Base neutral acids, dic inorganics, metals, PA asbestos and heavy m leachate samples.	Hs, PCBs, pesticides	"	primary components d/implemented:				
	ost Driver	COC Cost Driver - O _M:	·							
Estimated	Quantity M	ledia: Not sure								
Description	n Volume E	stimate: See above								
Impacted	d Media:	Soil								
☐ Media C	Cost Drive	Contaminants of Concern:	Base neutral acids, dic inorganics, metals, PA asbestos and heavy m leachate samples.	Hs, PCBs, pesticides						
	ost Driver	COC Cost Driver - O _M:	VOCs for soil							
Estimated	Quantity M	ledia: Not sure								
Description	n Volume E		out 40 acres and about 6 se contaminated media (apped in place, along wi	(soil and sediment) wa	as					
Impacted	d Media:	Surface water								
☐ Media C	Cost Drive	Contaminants of Concern:	Base neutral acids, dic inorganics, metals, PA asbestos and heavy m leachate samples.	Hs, PCBs, pesticides	5,					
	ost Driver	COC Cost Driver - O _M:								
Estimated	Quantity M	ledia: Not sure								
Description	n Volume E	stimate: See above								
Estimated	d Costs									

New Lyme Landfill Site Name:

Estimated Costs

Estimated Cost Source: **ROD Amendment**

Estimated Cost Description: Exceeded \$10,798,000 for overall remedy; original O&M costs were \$252,000 annually; O&M costs for the amendment plan (after the 1999 ROD Amendment) are estimated

to be \$90,000 to \$120,000 annually.

Estimated	Cost Table	Fringe	Indirect							
Year	Personnel	Rate (\$)	Rate (\$)	Equipment	Travel	Supplies	Lab Analytical	Contractual	Other	Other
1	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	Annual O&M Costs from Table 4 - Cost Estimate
										Summary (from ROD?)
2	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
3	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
4	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
5	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
6	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
7	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	<u>]</u>
8	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	<u>]</u>
9	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
10	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
11	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
12	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
13	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
14	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
15	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
16	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
17	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
18	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00]
19	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
20	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
21	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
22	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
23	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
24	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
26	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
27	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
28	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
29	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	
30	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$252,000.00	

Total Estimated Costs (all years): \$7,560,000.00

Actual Costs

Site Name: New Lyme Landfill

Actual Costs

What is the source of the actual costs? Ohio EPA time accounting and fiscal accounting systems (Contact: Teri McClosky, Division of Emergency and Remedial Response, central office

Description of Actual Costs. Figures, accuracy, time-frame costs reflect, categories (e.g., capital, typical year, 10-year replacement costs, etc...)

See Table

If actual costs have significantly changed over time, what events can be attributed to this?

* On November 6, 1999, the ROD Amendment was signed which shut down the ground water extraction and treatment system and began the long-term ground water monitoring program. This decreased annual O&M costs. * In 2003, the 2nd 5-year review identified low areas in the landfill cap. In 2006/2007, the cap was repaired, which increased the O&M for these years. Additional cap repairs may be needed in the future

Has there been an optimization review? If so, what year was it conducted?

Yes On't know if a formal optimization review was conducted, but the ROD Amendment changed the long-term remedy, decreasing O&M costs.

Source of funding: Are some costs still coming from EPA? How does the state pay for the long-term obligations?:

All state future response costs are to be reimbursed by the settling parties from the consent decree signed on November 9th, 2000.

Are there other concerns related to Long-Term Stewardship at the site?

* The 1985 ROD selected a cap remedy that acknowledged that subsidence of the cap was expected to occur. The 2nd 5-year review identified many areas where this has developed. The PRP group has implemented repairs; however, it is likely that much more subsidence will occur on the near future, and repairs will be often and costly. * These low areas on the cap sometimes contain ponded water. If the cap liner breaks and releases water into the landfill, then leachate may be released to surface or ground water.

tual C	ost Table	F.:	La d'accat								
	Personnel	Fringe Rate (%)	Indirect	Equipment	Travel	Supplies	Lab Analytical	Contractual	Other	Total	Comments
										Total	
1987	\$15,566.52	24.00%	57.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15,566.52	Fringe = 24%; Indirect = 57%; equipmen supplies, travel included in indirect rate.
1988	\$15,566.52	24.00%	57.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15,566.52	Fringe = 24%; Indirect = 57%; equipmen supplies, travel included in indirect rate.
1989	\$15,566.52	24.00%	57.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15,566.52	Fringe = 24%; Indirect = 57%; equipmer supplies, travel included in indirect rate.
1990	\$2,903.65	27.18%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,903.65	
1991	\$5,381.09	27.18%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,381.09	
1992	\$11,862.30	24.71%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$11,862.30	
1993	\$10,836.74	20.92%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,971.39	\$5,220.67	\$33,028.80	
1994	\$65,000.69	20.40%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,412.73	\$189,572.26	\$31,396.39	\$287,382.07	
1995	\$62,994.83	20.33%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$681,651.83	\$26,668.29	\$771,314.95	
1996	\$57,544.93	24.37%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$365,666.89	\$7,214.32	\$430,426.14	
1997	\$24,060.34	24.64%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,863.50	\$338,241.12	\$17,606.42	\$385,771.38	
1998	\$30,775.09	24.94%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$390,045.36	\$24,639.76	\$445,460.21	
1999	\$5,411.41	27.79%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$331,346.12	\$34,819.46	\$371,576.99	
2000	\$3,582.22	27.79%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$188,196.11	\$29,381.49	\$221,159.82	
2001	\$154.03	30.25%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$334.64	\$488.67	
2002	\$912.35	30.25%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$912.35	
2003	\$82.56	31.85%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$82.56	
2004	\$44.33	31.85%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$44.33	
2005	\$477.77	31.85%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$477.77	

S	ite Name:	New Lyme Land	fill											
		ost Table	Fringe	Indirect				Lab						
		Personnel	Rate (%)	Rate (%) Equipment	Travel	Supplies	Analytical	Contractual	Other		Total	Comments	
	2006	\$4,874.37	31.85%	0.00%	6 \$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$4,874.37		
	Total Act	tual Costs (all ye	ears): \$3,0	19,847.	01									
	Respond	dent												
	Contact:	Andrew Kocher		Title:	Site Coordina	itor								
	Address:	2110 East Aurora Ro	oad											
		Twinsburg		State: C)H Zipco	ode:		44087						
	Phone:	(330) 963-1249			•									

2/2/2007

Date:

andrew.kocher@epa.state.oh.us

Site Name:	Gurley F	Pit								
CERCLISID:	ARD0356	62469			5	State:	AR	R	egion: 6	Lis
Size:		3		Acreage Deriv	ved:	Info	rmation fror	n 1st !	5-Year Review F	Report
Contamin	ation								Remedy	
Impacted		Grour	ndwater						Remedy Components:	A volu
✓ Media C	Cost Drive	Contami	nants of Concern:	The principal pollutar Superfund site include barium, lead and zinc (surface water, s	de PO	CB (slu	udge and oi	I),	Institutional Co	ontrols:
✓ COC Co Estimated			ost Driver - O ₋ M:	The impacted media stabilized and place Any of the COC can from the vault to the	in a l be a	RCRA cost o	type vault. driver if it lea		constructed or Description of post constructed	primary
Description	n Volume E	Estimate:								
Impacted	Media:	Sedin	nent							
✓ Media C	Cost Drive	Contami	nants of Concern:	The principal pollutar Superfund site include barium, lead and zinc (surface water, s	de PO	CB (slu	udge and oi	I),		
✓ COC Co Estimated			ost Driver - O _M:	The impacted media stabilized and place Any of the COC can from the vault to the	in a l be a	RCRA cost o	type vault. driver if it lea			
Description	n Volume E	Estimate:								
Impacted	Media:	Sludg	е							
✓ Media C	Cost Drive	Contami	nants of Concern:	The principal pollutar Superfund site include barium, lead and zinc (surface water, s	de PO	CB (slu	udge and oi	I),		
✓ COC Co Estimated			ost Driver - O _M:	The impacted media stabilized and place Any of the COC can from the vault to the	in a l be a	RCRA cost c	type vault. driver if it lea	aks		
			20,000 cu. Yd.							
Description	n Volume E	•	treated, stabilized a	ints include 20,000 yd: and place in a RCRA t cted media need to be	уре ч	⁄ault. ⁻	The vault is			
Impacted	Media:	Soil								

Remedy A volume of pollutants include 20,000 yd3 of sludge was treated, stabilized and Components: place in a RCRA type vault.

12/30/1982 PCC_OM Dat

8/12/1994

Listing Date:

Institutional Controls? ● Yes ○ No

Are there primary components of the remedy planned but not yet constructed or implemented?

Description of primary components not constructed/implemented:

Site Name: Gurley Pit

Media Cost Drive Contaminants of Concern:

The principal pollutants at the Gurley Pit

Superfund site include PCB (sludge and oil),

barium, lead and

zinc (surface water, soil and sludge).

✓ COC Cost Driver COC Cost Driver - O _M: Estimated Quantity Media:

The impacted media have been treated, stabilized and place in a RCRA type vault. Any of the COC can be a cost driver if it leaks

from the vault to the groundwater.

Description Volume Estimate:

Surface water Impacted Media:

Media Cost Drive Contaminants of Concern: The principal pollutants at the Gurley Pit

Superfund site include PCB (sludge and oil),

barium, lead and

zinc (surface water, soil and sludge).

✓ COC Cost Driver COC Cost Driver - O _M: Estimated Quantity Media:

The impacted media have been treated, stabilized and place in a RCRA type vault. Any of the COC can be a cost driver if it leaks

from the vault to the groundwater.

Description Volume Estimate:

Estimated Costs

Estimated Cost Source: Final Feasibility Study, April 1986. Estimated Cost Description: \$21,000 Annual O&M Estimated Cost

Estimate	d Cost Table	Fringe	Indirect							
Year	Personnel	Rate (\$)	Rate (\$)	Equipment	Travel	Supplies	Lab Analytical	Contractual	Other	Other
1	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00	On annual basis for 30 yrs:
										RCRA Vault O&M = \$10,000; Monitoring/Network Fencing = \$6,000; O&M Bid
										Contingencies =
										\$2,000; Scope Contingencies = \$3,000

2	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
3	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
4	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
5	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
6	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
7	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
8	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
9	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
10	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
11	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
12	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
13	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
14	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00

Site Name:	Gurley Pit								
15	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
16	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
17	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
18	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
19	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
20	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
21	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
22	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
23	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
24	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
26	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
27	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
28	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
29	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
30	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,000.00

Total Estimated Costs (all years): \$630,000.00

Actual Costs

What is the source of the actual costs? The actual cost is cost associated with a contract to carry out groundwater sampling and analysis and minor repairs at the site.

Description of Actual Costs. Figures, accuracy, time-frame costs reflect, categories (e.g., capital, typical year, 10-year replacement costs, etc...)

The annual O&M costs are costs associated with an annual inspection. It costed approximately \$1500 of staff time for the trip. One sampling event every 5 years during the O&M period. Based on the 2005 O&M Sampling Event which was subcontracted out, it costed approximately \$5000. Total cost including contract procurement, staff travel expenses and document review time costed approximately \$8500.

If actual costs have significantly changed over time, what events can be attributed to this?

The frequency of sampling and analysis events had changed. The actual expenses incurred are for the once ever 5-year groundwater sampling and analysis (S&) event subcontracted out to a local contractor by the State.

Has there been an optimization review? If so, what year was it conducted?

Source of funding: Are some costs still coming from EPA? How does the state pay for the long-term obligations?:

The State paid for the O&M expenses. No EPA funding. The State pays for the O&M from the Remedial Action Trust Fund. It probably would cost substantially more if EPA Region 6 were to use their on-call contractors to carry out a S&A event due to higher shipping costs for equipment and travel expenses for the contractor crew.

Are there other concerns related to Long-Term Stewardship at the site? No

Actual Cost Table		Fringe	Indirect				Lab					
Personnel		Rate (%)	Rate (%)	Equipment	Travel	Supplies		Contractual	Other		Total	Comments
2006	00.00	26.84%	55.17%	\$0.00	2,977.55	\$0.00	\$5,000.00	\$2,000.00		\$0.00		All equipment, supplies, lab/analytical and
												shipping cost were included in the S&A contract (\$5000).

Total Actual Costs (all years): \$9,977.55

Respondent		
respondent		

Site Name:	Gurley Pit						
Contact:	Kin Siew						
Address:	8100 National Drive						
	Little Rock	State:	AR	Zipcode:		72205	
Phone:	(501) 682-0855						
Email:	siew@adeq.state.ar.us			Da	te:	3/12/2007	

Site Name:	Madisonville W	ood Preservi	ng Com	pany								
CERCLISID:	LAD981522998				Sta	ite: LA	Reg	ion: 6	Listing Date:	12/31/1996 PC	C_OM Dat	9/1/2001
Size:	29			Acreage D	Derived: I	From conve	eyance notice	9				
Contamir	nation						R	emedy				
Impacted	d Media: <i>Grou</i>	ndwater								e; 2) DNAPL Rec	overy System; 3) Was	ste Water Treatment
✓ Media (Cost Drive Contam	inants of Conc	ern: Cre	eosote				Components: Plant				
✓ COC Co	ost Driver COC C	Cost Driver - O	M: Cre	eosote			"	nstitutional Conti	rols? • Yes) No		
Estimated	Quantity Media:	410,224 gallor	ns water t	reated & disch	narged		C	onveyance notic	e.			
Description	n Volume Estimate:	7,774 gallons	Creosote	removed				re there primary onstructed or imp	components of the	remedy planned i	but not yet	○ Yes ● No
Impacted	d Media: Soil							•	mary components	None.		
☐ Media (Cost Drive Contam	inants of Conc	ern: Cre	eosote				ot constructed/in		None.		
	ost Driver COC C	Cost Driver - O	M:									
Estimated	Quantity Media:											
Description	n Volume Estimate:											
Estimate	d Costs											
Estimated (Cost Source: RC	DD										
Estimated (l cost estim	nates for: ar	nnual water v	vell sampling (\$2	293,875), recovery	system O & M (\$8	866,497), cap mainten	ance (\$115,659) and
	100	al road recons	truction (\$	1,250,000).								
Actual C	osts											
What is the	source of the actual	costs? Louis	siana Dep	t of Environm	ental Quali	ty, Financia	al Services D	ivision				
Description	of Actual Costs. Figu	ıres, accuracy,	time-fram	ne costs reflec	t, categorie	es (e.g., cap	oital, typical y	year, 10-year rep	placement costs, e	tc)		
	•					•	2002, and in	clude start-up co	ests, typical yearly o	costs, and incident	tal repairs during this p	period.
	ts have significantly		•	t events can b	e attributed	d to this?						
	ery pumps purchase een an optimization i			was it conduc	atod2							
		Review - Janu		was it conduc	neu?							
Yes (J 140		•					0				
	<pre>inding: Are some co ana dedicated state f</pre>					•	ong-term obl	igations?:				
	her concerns related	0.										
		to Long-Term	Siewarus	nip at the site	? INOTE							
Actual Co	ost Table	Fringe	Indirect				Lab					
1	Personnel			Equipment	Travel	Supplies	Analytical	Contractual	Other	Total	Comments	
2002	\$4,207.03	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$76,496.13	\$627.08	\$81,330.24	Indirect not included; included in contract.	Lab/analytical
2003	\$2,056.29	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$182,175.25	\$0.00	\$184,231.54	Indirect not included; included in contract.	Lab/analytical
2004	\$6,123.56	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$110,946.18	\$0.00	\$117,069.74	Indirect not included; included in contract.	Lab/analytical

Site Name:	Madisonville	Wood	Preserving	Company
------------	--------------	------	-------------------	---------

Actua	l Cost Table										
	Personnel	Rate (%)	Rate (%)	Equipment	Travel	Supplies	Analytical	Contractual	Other	Total	Comments
20	005 \$1,248	69 0.00	% 0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$13.90	\$0.00	\$159,014.34	\$3.91	\$160,280.84	Indirect not included; Lab/analytical included in contract.
20	\$1,800	22 0.00	% 0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15.37	\$0.00	\$75,727.78	\$16.67	\$77,560.04	Indirect not included; Lab/analytical included in contract.
	\$75		% 0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$57,267.73	\$0.00	\$57,343.55	Indirect not included; Lab/analytical included in contract.

Total Actual Costs (all years): \$677,815.95

Respondent													
Contact:	Alan Karr, Sandra Greenwich Title: Environmental Scientist 3/Env. Scientist												
	Senior												
Address:	P.O. Box 4314												
	Baton Rouge	Zipcode:	70802										
Phone:	(225) 219-3189												
Email:	alan.karr@la.gov; sandra.greenv	Dat	e: 2/28/2007										

Site Name:	Odessa Chrom	ium - OU1								
CERCLISID:	TXD980867279			Sta	te: TX	Region: 6	Listing Date:	5/20/1986 P	CC_OM Dat	12/20/2003
Size:	20			Acreage Derived:	Estimated su	rface projection of	the groundwater plum	е		
Contamir	nation					Remed	У			
Impacted	l Media: Grou	undwater				Remedy				Sulfate in-situ treatment,
✓ Media C	Cost Drive Contai	minants of Cond	ern: Hexa	valent Chromium		,	ents: Metals Remedia	ation Compound in-sit	u treatment	
✓ COC Co		Cost Driver - O	_M: Hexa	valent Chromium		Institution	nal Controls? O Ye	es No		
	Quantity Media:	246,000,000	gallons treate	ed		Are there	primary components	of the remedy planned	d but not yet	○ Yes ● No
Description	n Volume Estimate:		•	reated in the Groundwa	ater Treatme	ent	ed or implemented?	,	·	O 163 @ 110
				ated January 2007)		Description	on of primary compone	ents		
Impacted	l Media: Soil					not const	ructed/implemented:			
☐ Media C	Cost Drive Contar	minants of Cond	ern:							
	st Driver COC	Cost Driver - O	M:							
Estimated	Quantity Media:									
Description	n Volume Estimate:									
Estimated	d Costs									
		easibility Study								
	Cost Description:									
	<u> </u>									
Estimate	d Cost Table	Fringe	Indirect							
Year	Personnel	Rate (\$)	Rate (\$)	Equipment Travel	Supplies	Lab Analytical	Contractual	Other	Other	
1	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00		
2	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00		
3	\$0.00 \$0.00	·	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00 \$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$4,600.00 \$4,600.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$2,550.00 \$2,550.00		
5	\$0.00	·	\$0.00	\$0.00\$1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00		
6	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00		
7	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00		
8	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00		
9	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00		
10	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00		
11	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00		
12	·	·	\$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00		
13			\$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00		
14			\$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00		
15			\$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00		
16			\$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00		
17	\$0.00	·	\$0.00	\$0.00\$1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00		
18 19			\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00 \$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$4,600.00 \$4,600.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$2,550.00 \$2,550.00		
20		·	\$0.00	\$0.00\$1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00		
21			\$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00		

Site Name:	Odessa Chromit	ım - OU1						
22	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00
23	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00
24	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00\$1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00
25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00
26	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00
27	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00\$1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00
28	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00\$1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00
29	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00
30	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 1,150.00	\$0.00	\$4,600.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00

Total Estimated Costs (all years): \$249,000.00

Actual Costs

What is the source of the actual costs? TCEQ - Remediation Division, Contract Support Section

Description of Actual Costs. Figures, accuracy, time-frame costs reflect, categories (e.g., capital, typical year, 10-year replacement costs, etc...)

See attached spreadsheet

If actual costs have significantly changed over time, what events can be attributed to this?

Groundwater treatment plant was in operation until May 2004. Several rounds of Metals Remediation Compound (MRC) in-situ treatment were conducted.

Has there been an optimization review? If so, what year was it conducted?

● Yes No 5 year reviews were conducted in July 2001 and in September 2006

Source of funding: Are some costs still coming from EPA? How does the state pay for the long-term obligations?:

O&M costs are 100% funded by the State of Texas

Are there other concerns related to Long-Term Stewardship at the site?

Actual C	Actual Cost Table Fringe Indirect Lab											
	Personnel	Rate (%)		Equipment	Travel	Supplies	Lab Analytical	Contractual	Other	Total	Comments	
1992	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	O&F	
1993	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	O&F	
1994	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	LTRA	
1995	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	LTRA	
1996	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	LTRA	
1997	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	LTRA	
1998	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	LTRA	
1999	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	LTRA	
2000	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	LTRA	
2001	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	LTRA	
2002	\$9,109.00	21.30%	34.22%	\$0.00	\$516.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$223,019.00	\$2,350.00	\$234,994.00	LTRA	
2003	\$9,315.00	22.82%	28.91%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$246,421.00	\$1,450.00	\$257,186.00	LTRA	
2004	\$8,378.00	25.30%	33.50%	\$0.00	\$287.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$783,459.00	\$1,450.00	\$793,574.00	O&M	
2005	\$12,974.00	25.21%	33.00%	\$0.00	\$657.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$131,992.00	\$600.00	\$146,223.00	O&M	
2006	\$16,590.00	25.88%	33.23%	\$0.00	\$378.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$75,235.00	\$100.00	\$92,303.00	O&M	
2007	\$635.00	26.25%		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$35,911.00	\$0.00	\$36,546.00	O&M	

Total Actual Costs (all years): \$1,560,826.00

Respondent

Site Name:	Odessa Chromium - OU1					
Contact:	Alvie L. Nichols					
Address:	MC -136, PO Box 13087					
	Austin	State:	TX	Zipcode:	78711	
Phone:	(512) 239-2439					
Email:	anichols@tceq.state.tx.us			Da	ite:	

Site Name: Mid-America Tanning	
CERCLISID: IAD085824688 State: IA	Region: 7 Listing Date: 3/31/1989 PCC_OM Dat 9/12/2000
Size: 99 Acreage Derived:	
Contamination	Remedy
Impacted Media: Exposed waste	Remedy -Excavation and relocation of on-site contaminated soil, sediment and sludge
Media Cost Drive Contaminants of Concern: chromium, hexavalent and trivalent	Components: materials; -Coverage of those materials with multi-media landfill cap structures;
COC Cost Driver COC Cost Driver - O _M: chromium, hexavalent and trivalent	-Treatment of free wastewaters located in several site impoundments;
Estimated Quantity Media:	-Installation of floating geosynthetic covers on existing site lagoons;
Description Volume Estimate:	 -Decontamination by steam cleaning of selected site facilities; and -Decontamination of selected buildings;
Impacted Media: Soil	-Transfer of wastewaters from and to selected surface impoundments;
•	-Installation of chain link fencing;
Media Cost Drive Contaminants of Concern: chromium, hexavalent and trivalent	Institutional Controls? Yes No
✓ COC Cost Driver COC Cost Driver - O _M: chromium, hexavalent and trivalent Estimated Quantity Media:	-Institutional controls, including a deed notice and state registry restrictions, to control future land
Description Volume Estimate:	use at the site
Description volume Estimate.	Are there primary components of the remedy planned but not yet constructed or implemented?
	Description of primary components
	not constructed/implemented:
Estimated Costs	
Estimated Cost Source: Amended ROD estimated O&M costs would be about \$25,000 p	per year.
Estimated Cost Description:	
Actual Costs	
What is the source of the actual costs? Cost estimates received from the state	
Description of Actual Costs. Figures, accuracy, time-frame costs reflect, categories (e.g., capital	tal, typical year, 10-year replacement costs, etc)
If actual costs have significantly changed over time, what events can be attributed to this?	
Based on cost estimates received from the state, the ROD estimate appears to be high; about	ut \$7,000 was spent on maintenance and monitoring last year.
Has there been an optimization review? If so, what year was it conducted?	
○ Yes ○ No	
Source of funding: Are some costs still coming from EPA? How does the state pay for the lor	ng-term obligations?:
Are there other concerns related to Long-Term Stewardship at the site?	
Respondent	
Contact: Iowa DNR, Contaminated Sites Section Title: Environmental Engineer	
Address: 502 E. 9th Street	
Des Moines State: IA Zipcode:	50319
Phone: (515) 281-8900	
Email: Bob.Drustrup@dnr.state.ia.us Date:	

Cita Nama												
Site Name: CERCLISID:	Des Moines TCE	site OU 3			Sta	ite: IA	Reg	ion: 7	Lieting Deter	0/9/4092 DC	C OM Dat	0/04/4000
				A		ile. IA	ixeg	1011.	Listing Date:	9/8/1983 PC	C_OINI Dat	9/21/1998
Size:	200			Acreage [Jerived:							
Contamir	nation						R	emedy				
Impacted	d Media: <i>Groui</i>	ndwater									n the installation and op	
✓ Media	Cost Drive Contami	nants of Con	cern: DCI	E. PCE				,	roundwater extraction Tells and an air stripp		system consisting of gro	oundwater extraction
✓ COC Co		ost Driver - O		E, PCE							nitoring of 7 wells in the	north of the site
_	Quantity Media:			, -				bi	iennially			
	n Volume Estimate:						//	nstitutional Cont	trols? O Yes) No		
								re there primary onstructed or im	components of the plemented?	remedy planned	but not yet	○ Yes ○ No
							D	escription of pri	mary components			
								ot constructed/ii				
Estimate	d Costs											
		ese costs wer	e estimated	in the ROD	for OU3 (n	eed date, a	amount, and	basis)				
Estimated (Cost Description:				,	·		,				
	,											
Actual C	osts											
What is the	source of the actual o	costs?										
Description	of Actual Costs. Figu	res, accuracy	v, time-fram	e costs reflec	ct, categorie	es (e.g., ca _l	pital, typical	year, 10-year re	placement costs, et	c)		
If actual cos	ts have significantly o	changed over	time, what	events can b	e attribute	d to this?						
Costs have	declined as a result	of extending	the periods	between mo	nitoring eve	ents						
Has there b	een an optimization re	eview? If so,	what year	was it conduc	cted?							
○ Yes (No											
Source of fu	ınding: Are some cos	sts still comin	g from EPA	? How does	the state p	ay for the l	ong-term obl	ligations?:				
Are there of	her concerns related	to Long-Tern	n Stewardsl	nip at the site	?							
Actual Co				<u>'</u>								
Actual Co	USI TADIE	Fringe	Indirect				Lab					
	Personnel	Rate (%)		Equipment	Travel		Analytical		Other	Total	Comments	
1998	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,500.00	\$2,500.00	\$2,500.00 every other	year.
2000	\$0.00 \$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,500.00 \$2,500.00	\$2,500.00		
2002 2004	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$2,500.00	\$2,500.00 \$2,500.00		
2004	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,500.00	\$2,500.00		
	ual Costs (all ye			ψυ.υυ	ψυ.υυ	ψυ.υυ	Ψ0.00	ψ0.00	Ψ2,000.00	Ψ2,300.00		
		, αι <i>σ μ</i> ι Ζ,	.500.00						1			
Respond	lent											

Site Name:	Des Moines TCE site OU 3					
Contact:	Bob Drustrup	Title:	Enviro	nmental Eng	jineer	
Address:	502 E. 9th Street					
	Des Moines	State:	IA	Zipcode:	50319	
Phone:	(515) 281-8900					
Email:	Bob.Drustrup@dnr.state.ia.us			Da	te:	

Site Name:	Cleburn	Street 3	Site											
CERCLISID:	NED9814	99312			State: N	NE	Region: 7	Listing Date:	10/14/1992 PCC_OM Date	2/8/2000				
Size:		0		Acreage Deriv	/ed:									
Contamin	ation						Remedy							
Impacted	Media:	Grou	ndwater				Remedy Components:	, ,	source area, the former One Hou the use of a soil vapor extraction	r Martinizing facility, the selected				
✓ Media C	ost Drive	Contam	inants of Concern:	VOCs, PCE			Components:		ed remedies for the other two dry					
✓ COC Cos	st Driver	COCC	Cost Driver - O _M:	PCE				groundwater monitoring and institutional controls to restrict uses of the vicinity of the source areas.						
Estimated	Quantity M	ledia:	municipal well for C	Frand Island			In a titu ti a mad O							
Description	Volume E	stimate:		s of water, 99 cubic ya			Institutional C	ontrois? Yes	S O No					
			based media (see removed	glossary) have been tr	eated, stabiliz	zed, or	Yes, a city ord	inance restricting ι	uses of groundwater.					
			removed	_	_	_			f the remedy planned but not yet	Yes No				
Impacted	Media:	Soil					constructed or	implemented?						
✓ Media C	ost Drive	Contam	inants of Concern:	PCE and associated	degradation	compounds		primary componer	nts					
✓ COC Cos	st Driver	COCC	Cost Driver - O M:	PCE			not constructe	d/implemented:						
Estimated	Quantity M	ledia:												

Estimated Costs

Description Volume Estimate:

Estimated Cost Source: ROD and SSC

Estimated Cost Description: ROD: SVE Capital Costs 234K, SVE Annual O&M 70K; SSC: Capital Costs 200K, Annual O&M Costs 70K ROD: Groundwater Monitoring Capital Costs 15K, Annual O&M 16K; SSC: Capital Costs 90K, Annual O&M 16K Annual estimated for SVE 70K Capital Costs 234K Actual Costs 40K YR SSC listed costs at 200K

Annual estimated for GW OU 17K Capital Costs 159K Actuals range from 12K-20K YR SSC listed costs at 427K and 12K YR

Annual estimated for Monitoring 16K Capital Costs 15K Actual Costs 4K YR SSC listed costs at 45K each; annual costs 8K each

Estimated	d Cost Table	Fringe	Indirect							
Year	Personnel	Rate (\$)	Rate (\$)	Equipment	Travel	Supplies	Lab Analytical	Contractual	Other	Other
1999	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$172,000.00	OU 3 & OU 4(?); SVE Annual O&M = \$70K; SS(Annual O&M = \$70K; GWM O&M = \$16K; GWM O&M = \$16K
2000	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$172,000.00	OU 3 & OU 4(?); SVE Annual O&M = \$70K; SSI Annual O&M = \$70K; GWM O&M = \$16K; GWM O&M = \$16K
2001	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$172,000.00	OU 3 & OU 4(?); SVE Annual O&M = \$70K; SS Annual O&M = \$70K; GWM O&M = \$16K; GWM O&M = \$16K
2002	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$172,000.00	OU 3 & OU 4(?); SVE Annual O&M = \$70K; SS Annual O&M = \$70K; GWM O&M = \$16K; GWM O&M = \$16K
2003	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$172,000.00	OU 3 & OU 4(?); SVE Annual O&M = \$70K; SSC Annual O&M = \$70K; GWM O&M = \$16K; GWM O&M = \$16K

,	Site Name:	Cleburn Street Site									
	2004	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$172,000.00	OU 3 & OU 4(?); SVE Annual O&M = \$70K; SSC
											Annual O&M = \$70K; GWM O&M = \$16K; GWM O&M = \$16K
	2005	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$172,000.00	OU 3 & OU 4(?); SVE Annual O&M = \$70K; SSC
											Annual O&M = \$70K; GWM O&M = \$16K; GWM O&M = \$16K
	2006	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$172,000.00	OU 3 & OU 4(?); SVE Annual O&M = \$70K; SSC
											Annual O&M = \$70K; GWM O&M = \$16K; GWM O&M = \$16K
	2007	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$172,000.00	OU 3 & OU 4(?); SVE Annual O&M = \$70K; SSC
											Annual O&M = \$70K; GWM O&M = \$16K; GWM
											O&M = \$16K

Total Estimated Costs (all years): \$1,548,000.00

Actual Costs

What is the source of the actual costs? Contractor invoices

Description of Actual Costs. Figures, accuracy, time-frame costs reflect, categories (e.g., capital, typical year, 10-year replacement costs, etc...)

Annual SVE Costs 41K pre-optimization, 34K post-optimization

Annual Groundwater Monitoring Costs 12K pre-optimization, 10K post-optimization

Annual SVE Costs 40K YR Capital Costs 234K

Annual GW OU Costs range from 12K-20K YR Capital Costs 159K

Annual Monitoring Costs for each of 2 wells 4K Capital Costs 15K each

If actual costs have significantly changed over time, what events can be attributed to this?

Use of cooperative agreement with the University of Nebraska-Kearney Chemistry Department and local environmental consulting firm resulted in cost savings. Optimization study also identified areas of reduced sampling activities

Has there been an optimization review? If so, what year was it conducted?

Yes \(\cap \) No

Source of funding: Are some costs still coming from EPA? How does the state pay for the long-term obligations?:

Nebraska Legislature General Budget Appropriations and Nebraska Environmental Trust Grant

Are there other concerns related to Long-Term Stewardship at the site?

The design of the SVE well screen intervals does not appear to be capturing shallow subsurface soil contamination. In addition, there appears to be an unknown source area under the former One Hour Martinizing building that also is not be addressed by the current design of the SVE system. Based on these issues, NDEQ has shut the system down and is currently working with EPA Region VII on further optimization studies.

	ost Table Personnel		Fringe Rate (%)	Indirect Rate (%)	Equipment	Travel	Supplies	Lab Analytical	Contractual	Other	Total	Comments
1999		\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$53,000.00	\$53,000.00	SVE O&M (\$41K pre-optimization/\$34K post-optimization); GWM O&M (\$34K pre-optimization; \$10K post-optimization)
2000		\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$53,000.00	\$53,000.00	SVE O&M (\$41K pre-optimization/\$34K post-optimization); GWM O&M (\$34K pre-optimization; \$10K post-optimization)
2001		\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$53,000.00	\$53,000.00	SVE O&M (\$41K pre-optimization/\$34K post-optimization); GWM O&M (\$34K pre-optimization; \$10K post-optimization)

Site Name:	Cleburn Street Site
------------	---------------------

ctual Cost Table Personnel	•	Fringe Rate (%)	Indirect Rate (%)	Equipment	Travel	Supplies	Lab Analytical	Contractual	Other	Total	Comments
2002	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$53,000.00	\$53,000.00	SVE O&M (\$41K pre-optimization/\$34K post-optimization); GWM O&M (\$34K pre-optimization; \$10K post-
2003	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$53,000.00	\$53,000.00	optimization) SVE O&M (\$41K pre-optimization/\$34K post-optimization); GWM O&M
2004	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$44,000.00	\$44,000.00	(\$34K pre-optimization; \$10K post-optimization) SVE O&M (\$41K pre-optimization/\$34K
2004	ψ0.00	0.0076	0.0076	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	ψ++,000.00	\$ 44 ,000.00	post-optimization); GWM O&M (\$34K pre-optimization; \$10K post-optimization)
2005	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$44,000.00	\$44,000.00	SVE O&M (\$41K pre-optimization/\$34K post-optimization); GWM O&M (\$34K pre-optimization; \$10K post-optimization)
2006	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$44,000.00	\$44,000.00	SVE O&M (\$41K pre-optimization/\$34K post-optimization); GWM O&M (\$34K pre-optimization; \$10K post-optimization)
2007	\$0.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$44,000.00	\$44,000.00	SVE O&M (\$41K pre-optimization/\$34K post-optimization); GWM O&M (\$34K pre-optimization; \$10K post-optimization)

Total Actual Costs (all years): \$441,000.00

Respond	lent				
Contact:	Mike Felix	Title:	Section	n Supervisor	
Address:	1200 N Street, Suite 400, Atrium I	Building			
	Lincoln,	State:	NE	Zipcode:	68509
Phone:	(402) 471-2938				
Email:	mike.felix@ndeq.state.ne.us			Dat	e: 4/2/2007

Cita Nama	
Site Name: Chemical Sales Co - OU 1 CERCLISID: COD007431620 State: CO	Region: 8 Listing Date: 8/30/1990 PCC_OM Dat 3/27/2000
	Region: 8 Listing Date: 8/30/1990 PCC_OM Dat 3/27/2000
Size: 5 Acreage Derived:	
Contamination	Remedy
Impacted Media: Groundwater	Remedy SVE in place, well ban in place, indoor air sampling done but area is commercial, Components: looking to ESD for closure of SVE plant and startup of "pocket" source areas
Media Cost Drive Contaminants of Concern: VOCs - PCE, TCE, and degradation products	
✓ COC Cost Driver COC Cost Driver - O _M: VOCs - PCE, TCE, and degradation products	Institutional Controls? Yes No
Estimated Quantity Media: 150,000 gallons water	Well ban
Description Volume Estimate: SVE system treats this amount of water per year	
Impacted Media: Soil	Are there primary components of the remedy planned but not yet constructed or implemented?
Media Cost Drive Contaminants of Concern: VOCs - PCE, TCE, and degradation products	Description of primary components Not until ESD changes the remedy
☐ COC Cost Driver COC Cost Driver - O _M:	not constructed/implemented:
Estimated Quantity Media:	
Description Volume Estimate:	
Estimated Costs	
Estimated Cost Source: ROD	
Estimated Cost Description: ROD estimated that the SVE plant design and build would be \$2.1 mil	lion. Actual costs were \$4.6 million.
Actual Costs	
What is the source of the actual costs? Data compiled from records over the years	
Description of Actual Costs. Figures, accuracy, time-frame costs reflect, categories (e.g., capital, type	pical year, 10-year replacement costs, etc)
If actual costs have significantly changed over time, what events can be attributed to this?	
less cost to run the SVE plant based on management and efficiency over time, it was a new plant s	o there were some bugs to work out
Has there been an optimization review? If so, what year was it conducted?	
 Yes Ono one five year review, another one coming, trend charts showing SVE no lo 	onger efficient, ESD to look at alternate pocket treatment of source area soils
Source of funding: Are some costs still coming from EPA? How does the state pay for the long-term	m obligations?:
EPA and state paid for the original design and build in typical 90/10 split. State will pay for O and M the MNA. Our HSRF funds are derived from tipping fees at our landfills.	M when we get to MNA. At that point our State's Hazardous Substance Relief Fund (HSRF) will fund
Are there other concerns related to Long-Term Stewardship at the site? None	
Actual Cost Table	
Fringe Indirect Lat	
Personnel Rate (%) Rate (%) Equipment Travel Supplies Analy	
2000 \$40,000.00 0.00% 0.00% \$17,000.00 \$0.00 \$2,000.00 \$6,500	0.00 \$285,000.00 \$120,000.00 \$470,500.00 These figures are our actual costs rounded up to whole numbers#######
2001 \$50,000.00 0.00% 0.00% \$12,000.00 \$0.00 \$1.50 \$6,500	0.00 \$285,000.00 \$120,000.00 \$473,501.50

\$6,500.00

\$6,500.00

\$6,500.00

\$6,500.00

\$265,000.00

\$255,000.00

\$240,000.00

\$88,000.00

\$120,000.00

\$100,000.00

\$90,000.00

\$80,000.00

\$445,000.00

\$414,500.00

\$381,500.00

\$215,500.00

\$0.00 \$1,500.00

\$0.00 \$1,000.00

\$0.00 \$1,000.00

\$0.00 \$1,000.00

0.00% \$7,000.00

0.00% \$7,000.00

0.00% \$5,000.00

0.00%

\$4,000.00

\$45,000.00

\$45,000.00

\$40,000.00

\$35,000.00

0.00%

0.00%

0.00%

0.00%

2002

2003

2004

2005

Site Name:	Chemical Sales	Co - OU 1										
	ost Table	Fringe	Indirect				Lab				_	
	Personnel	Rate (%)	Rate (%	6) Equipment	t Travel	Supplies	Analytical	Contractual	Other	Total	Comments	
2006	\$35,000.00	0.00%	0.00	% \$1,000.0	0 \$0.0	0.000,00	\$6,500.00	\$85,000.00	\$80,000.00	\$208,500.00		
Total Act	otal Actual Costs (all years): \$2,609,001.50											
Respond	dent											
Contact:	Fonda Apostolopoulo	os	Title:	On-Site Co	ordinator							
Address:	4300 Cherry Creek D	Orive South										
	Denver		State:	CO Zi _l	code:		80246					
Phone:	(303) 692-3411											
Email:	fonda.apostolo@stat	te.co.us			Date:	2	/14/2007					

Site Name:	Central	City/Clea	r Creek Sup	erfund Sit	е									
CERCLISID:	COD9807	17557				Stat	e: CO	Region: 8	Listing Date:	9/8/1983 F	PCC_OM Dat	3/31/1999		
Size:		0			Acreage De	rived: O	OU 3 & OU 4	RODs						
Contamin	ation							Remedy						
Impacted	Media:	Soil						Remedy	Active chemical preciats: Capping or other eros			ge at the Argo Tunnel.		
☐ Media C	ost Drive	Contamin	ants of Conce	ern:							asures at a numbe	or or mine waste piles.		
COC Cos			st Driver - O _	M:					9 165 0 16					
Estimated Quantity Media: Description Volume Estimate:									Intent would be to implement Institutional Controls at mine waste piles to assure projection of the remedy. In practice they have not been implemented at many mine waste piles. Future mine waste					
								pile remedi covenants	pile remediations we will attempt to implement institutional controls through environmental					
Impacted			e water	-				Are there n	rimary components of the	remedv planne	d but not vet			
✓ Media C	ost Drive	Contamin	ants of Conce	ern: Zinc, C Arseni		nium, Mai	naganese, Le		d or implemented?	, ,		O res • No		
✓ COC Cos	st Driver	COC Co	st Driver - O _	M: Iron, N	langanese, Z	Zinc			of primary components cted/implemented:			of Clear Creek has been he North Fork of Clear Creek		
Estimated (•		00,000 gallor	•		•			осолитрістства.	(OU#4) has no	not been implemented yet (it calls for additional			
Description	Volume E		he mine drair drains to the							water treatme of mine waste		ntrol protection for a number		
		(;	about 30 millio	on gallons/da	ay); Based or	n knowled	ge of site.				,			
			Stream water I ypical low flow					ing						
			lata).			,								
Estimated														
Estimated C								· ·	, ,	•		ently the bulk of O & M costs)		
			3 ROD estima	atea \$1,200,0	ou per year	for Argo p	olus a passive	e system and mine	waste piles. Argo was ab	out \$1,000,000	or that.			
Estimated	d Cost 1	Table	Fringe	Indirect										
Year	Perso		Rate (\$)	Rate (\$)	Equipment		Supplies	Lab Analytical	Contractual	Other	Othe			
1[\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$175,384.00	\$0.00	\$926,000.00		dment, No Action Alt for 5 Yrs; 2 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B		
											Yearly Cost = \$92	26K		
2		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$175,384.00	\$0.00	\$926,000.00) 	dmont No Action Alt for E Vro.		
2[\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$175,384.00	\$0.00	\$926,000.00		dment, No Action Alt for 5 Yrs; a 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B		
											Yearly Cost = \$92	26K		
2		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$175,384.00	\$0.00	\$926 000 00	OLI 3 BOD Amon	dment, No Action Alt for 5 Yrs;		
3		φυ.υυ	φυ.υυ	φυ.υυ	φυ.υυ	φυ.υυ	φυ.υυ	φ170,004.00	φυ.υυ	ψ3∠0,000.00		e 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B		
											Yearly Cost = \$92	26K		
)			

Site Name:	Central City/C	lear Creek	Superfund	d Site							
4	\$0.00	\$0.0	00 \$0.	.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$175,384.00	\$0.0	926,000.0	OU 3 ROD Amendment, No Action Alt for 5 Yrs;
											OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B
											Yearly Cost = \$926K
)
5	\$0.0	\$0.0	00 \$0.	.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$175,384.00	\$0.0	00 \$926,000.0	OU 3 ROD Amendment, No Action Alt for 5 Yrs; OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B
											Yearly Cost = \$926K
	CO.O.) (fo	20 0	.00	¢0.00	#0.00	#0.00	#0.00	ФО.	00000000)
C	\$0.0	50.0	00 \$0.	.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0	00 \$926,000.0	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B Yearly Cost = \$926K
_	.	2 00	20 1 00		#0.00	#0.00	#0.00	#0.00	Φο.	0000000)
1	\$0.0	\$0.0	00 \$0.	.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0	00 \$926,000.0	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B Yearly Cost = \$926K
	A 0.00	2 00	20 1 00	00	Φ0.00	# 0.00	#0.00 T	*	Φο.		
8	\$0.0	\$0.0	50 \$0.	.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0	926,000.0	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B Yearly Cost = \$926K
	.	2 00	20 1 40	00	Φ0.00	# 0.00	#0.00 T	# 0.00	Фо.		
9	\$0.0	\$0.0	50 \$0.	.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0	926,000.0	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B Yearly Cost = \$926K
	Φο ο	2	20 1 00	00	# 0.00	# 0.00	Ф0.00	# 0.00	00.0)
10	\$0.0	\$0.0	00 \$0.	.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0	00 \$926,000.0	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B Yearly Cost = \$926K
						40.00	** • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	00.00			
11	\$0.0	\$0.0	00 \$0.	.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0	00 \$926,000.0	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B Yearly Cost = \$926K
	Φο ο	2	20 1 00	00	# 0.00	# 0.00	Ф0.00	# 0.00	00.0)
12	\$0.0	\$0.0	00 \$0.	.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0	00 \$926,000.0	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B Yearly Cost = \$926K
	Φο ο	2	20 1 00	00	# 0.00	# 0.00	#0.00	# 0.00	00.0)
13	\$0.0	\$0.0	00 \$0.	.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0	00 \$926,000.0	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B Yearly Cost = \$926K
		2 20			00.00	40.00		00.00)
14	\$0.0	\$0.0	00 \$0.	.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0	00 \$926,000.0	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B Yearly Cost = \$926K
	Φο ο	2	20 1 00	00	# 0.00	# 0.00	#0.00	# 0.00	00.0)
15	\$0.0	\$0.0	00 \$0.	.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0	926,000.0	00 OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B Yearly Cost = \$926K
						<u> </u>	T)
16	\$0.0	\$0.0	DO [\$0.	.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0	00 \$926,000.0	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B Yearly Cost = \$926K
					***)
17	\$0.0	\$0.0	DO \$0.	.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0	926,000.0	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B Yearly Cost = \$926K
)

Site Name:	Central City/Cle	ar Creek Sup	erfund Site							
18	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$926,000.00	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B
										Yearly Cost = \$926K
19	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$926,000.00	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B
										Yearly Cost = \$926K
20	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$926,000.00	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B
										Yearly Cost = \$926K
21	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$926,000.00	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B
										Yearly Cost = \$926K
22	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$926,000.00	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B
										Yearly Cost = \$926K
23	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$926,000.00	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B
										Yearly Cost = \$926K
24	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$926,000.00	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B
										Yearly Cost = \$926K
25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$926,000.00	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B
										Yearly Cost = \$926K
26	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$926,000.00	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B
										Yearly Cost = \$926K
27	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$926,000.00	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B
										Yearly Cost = \$926K
28	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$926,000.00	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B
										Yearly Cost = \$926K
29	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$926,000.00	OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B
										Yearly Cost = \$926K
30	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$926,000.00) OU 4 ROD, Table 10.5 Cost Alternatives (Alt 4B
			•	•	•	•	•	•		Yearly Cost = \$926K
Total Ea	timated Costs	(all voore):	¢20 656 04	20.00						

Total Estimated Costs (all years): \$28,656,920.00

Actual Costs

Site Name: Central City/Clear Creek Superfund Site

Actual Costs

What is the source of the actual costs? Based on actual costs - but simplified and summarized by estimating and rounding

Description of Actual Costs. Figures, accuracy, time-frame costs reflect, categories (e.g., capital, typical year, 10-year replacement costs, etc...)

Costs presented in summary fashion within 10%. Decent overview of overall picture.

If actual costs have significantly changed over time, what events can be attributed to this?

Initially process was difficult to control and needed to rent equipment (additional filter presses). Have made improvements to facility over time to reduce the amount of labor, and to use more cost effective chemical reagent. Contracted part of O& M was about 1.1 to 1.2 Million a year in first two years. Now the contracted part is about .9 to .95 Million per year. Its been about 100,000 a year for CDPHE to implement project management, including utilities (which are part of the other category on the Actual cost table).

Has there been an optimization review? If so, what year was it conducted?

Yes No Not formally, but State Project Manager, Mary Scott's focus has been to reduce annual costs as we can. Treatment chemical changed from sodium hydroxide to lime. Operations more automated now than when plant first started. We hope to complete a formal review within the next year.

Source of funding: Are some costs still coming from EPA? How does the state pay for the long-term obligations?:

90/10 for 10 years, thus EPA paying 90% through September 2009. Then will become 100 % state funded. State uses "Hazardous Substance Response Fund" that is funded by a tipping fee on solid waste disposal.

Are there other concerns related to Long-Term Stewardship at the site?

For the plant, replacement of major systems or equipment over time. For the site assess management of properties. Long term nature of active water treatment (in perpetuity).

Actual C	ost Table	Fringe	Indirect				Lab				
	Personnel		Rate (%)	Equipment	Travel	Supplies	Analytical	Contractual	Other	Total	Comments
1	\$18,718.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$63.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$151.00	\$18,932.00	Contractual: Labor=\$400,000;
											Lime=\$150,000; Polymer=\$60,000; Sludge disposal=\$140,000; Sampling=\$50,000; Other= \$100000 to 150000
2	\$25,088.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$59.00	\$3.00		\$50,000.00	\$164,139.00	\$2,774.00	\$452,122.00	
	***			****		00	h	I 4	A		
3	\$85,817.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$229.00	\$233.00	210,467. 00	\$50,000.00	\$771,200.00	\$4,997.00	\$1,122,943.00	
4	\$96,428.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	1,615.00		\$50,000.00	\$893,075.00	\$8,240.00	\$1,262,659.00	
5	\$96,056.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$50,000.00	\$548,255.00	\$34,989.00	\$939,300.00	
6	\$91,368.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$50,000.00	\$577,622.00	\$35,065.00	\$964,055.00	
7	\$97,691.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	210,000.	\$50,000.00	\$513,799.00	\$39,242.00	\$910,732.00	
						00		•			
8	\$83,326.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$50,000.00	\$625,390.00	\$49,282.00	\$1,017,998.00	
9	\$69,258.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	00 210,000. 00	\$50,000.00	\$625,217.00	\$42,811.00	\$997,286.00	
10	\$73,672.00	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.00	\$0.00	210,000. 00	\$50,000.00	\$637,612.00	\$55,579.00	\$1,026,863.00	

Total Actual Costs (all years): \$8,712,890.00

Respondent		

Site Name:	Central City/Clear Creek Su								
Contact:	Ronald Abel or Mary Scott								
Address:	4300 Cherry Creek Drive South								
	Denver	State:	СО	Zipcode:		80246			
Phone:	(303) 692-3381								
Email:	ron.abel@state.co.us or mary.sco	2/28/2007							