Community Involvement
Working Together to Achieve Results
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The Association of State and Territorial Solid Waste Management Officials (ASTSWMO) Community Involvement Focus Group developed this survey to assess the current status of community involvement programs across the nation. The results of the survey will help the group understand States' needs and also provide States the opportunity to share experience, expertise and tools for improved community involvement across the country.

Community involvement is an important aspect of all environmental programs and should be an integral function if communities are to be well served. Through this survey, wide discrepancies in the management, focus and importance of community involvement programs were noted. While most States emphasized a significant need for community involvement in their cleanup sites, of the 33 States responding, only six States indicated that staff was specifically assigned to work on community involvement as their main responsibility. The 33 States that responded to this survey represent a total of 1860 federal facility sites, but only 182 sites have active community involvement.

As Federal Facility sites move toward long-term stewardship and considering that through base realignment and closure (BRAC) many formerly used defense sites (FUDs) are being turned over to redevelopment, the need for community input into the cleanup plans at these facilities is becoming more crucial.

One of the problems faced by States is a restrictive budget, which results in inadequate funding to support community involvement. Therefore few States have the budgets for full-time community involvement personnel, with little community involvement oversight from State project managers, whose focus is more technical and project driven. It appears that community concerns often go unaddressed and opportunities for community involvement are not identified and/or provided.

Through the years, significant technological improvement has been seen in environmental cleanups. We have also seen improvement in community involvement from the days when government conducted their business behind closed doors. However, with expanding populations encroaching on contaminated sites, and with more of these sites being redeveloped for residential and commercial use, we are reaching a point where there is a greater need for a more holistic and community-based approach to environmental sustainment.

Environmental education and community involvement can accomplish this goal. Through the collaborative involvement of the States, EPA, and other government entities working together with communities at the beginning of projects, better and more suitable solutions to cleanup projects are achievable.

The mission of the Community Involvement Focus Group is to identify issues and to encourage improved partnerships between States, Communities and Federal agencies. The intent of this nationwide survey is to examine community outreach at federal facilities nationwide as well as provide resource information to other States. Information presented in this report is based on data collected from September 2005-June 2006 and may not be indicative of all States and Territories.
COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT SURVEY

1. 33 responses - For Respondent Information, Please See Attachment A

2. Do you have a person(s) assigned to work on community involvement activities at federal facility sites? (Please check the appropriate box)
   Yes – 15  No – 18

3. If yes, is this their main responsibility or are these other duties as assigned?
   Yes – 6  No – 22  N/A - 5

4. Contact information for each of the States/Territories – Please See Attachment B

5. What types of community activities does your agency currently participate in as they relate to federal facilities?
   Please check all that apply:
   - Advertisements 7
   - Articles 15
   - Fact Sheets 21
   - Legal notices 13
   - Public Availability Sessions (Poster sessions) 20
   - Public Hearings 16
   - Public Meetings 29
   - Public Service Announcements 8
   - Restoration Advisory Boards 30
   - Web sites 15
   - Other (please list): 9

CO: Newsletters, speaking engagements
DC: Community health fairs, earth day events
MA: Focus groups, speaker’s bureau environmental education retreats, lessons learned sessions, dry runs for public presentations, tours, debriefs, planning sessions, poster board sessions
NM: Technical Planning Process (TPP)
NJ: Other activities as necessary
NC: Public information brochures for UXO sites
OH: School outreach, professional organizations and conferences
PA: Field trips for the public showing detection technology for UXO
PR: Monthly bulletin
States Responding to ASTSWMO Survey
Region 1 - New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Massachusetts
Region 2 - New Jersey, New York, Puerto Rico
Region 3 - Washington DC, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia
Region 4 - Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, North Carolina, Tennessee
Region 5 - Illinois, Michigan, Ohio
Region 6 - Arkansas, New Mexico, Texas
Region 7 - Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska
Region 8 - Colorado, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah
Region 9 - Arizona, California, Hawaii

6. How many federal facility sites is your department/agency actively remediating:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th># Of sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total # of Federal Facility Sites</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # of Federal Facility Sites With Active Community Involvement</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Installation Restoration</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Base Realignment &amp; Closure</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Formerly Used Defense Sites</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Military Munitions Response</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Energy</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Federal Agencies/Federal Land Management (DOI, USDA, etc…)</td>
<td>1 USDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please Identify</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: (please list)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REGION 2 (NJ-15, NY-26, PR-9)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th># Of sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total # of Federal Facility Sites</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # of Federal Facility Sites With Active Community Involvement</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Installation Restoration</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Base Realignment &amp; Closure</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Formerly Used Defense Sites</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Military Munitions Response</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Energy</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Federal Agencies/Federal Land Management (DOI, USDA, etc…)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please Identify</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: (please list)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REGION 3 (DC-6, MD-32, PA-68, VA-73)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th># Of sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total # of Federal Facility Sites</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # of Federal Facility Sites With Active Community Involvement</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Installation Restoration</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Base Realignment &amp; Closure</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Formerly Used Defense Sites</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Military Munitions Response</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Energy</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Federal Agencies/Federal Land Management (DOI, USDA, etc…)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please Identify</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: (please list)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The numbers in each of the tables represent responding States. They do not represent all of the States/Territories in each of the Regions.
6. How many federal facility sites is your department/agency actively remediating:

**REGION 4 (AL-27, FL-unknown, GA-15, KY-10, NC-17, TN-18)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th># Of sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total # of Federal Facility Sites</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # of Federal Facility Sites With Active Community Involvement</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Installation Restoration</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Base Realignment &amp; Closure</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Formerly Used Defense Sites</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Military Munitions Response</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Energy</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Federal Agencies/Federal Land Management (DOI, USDA, etc…)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: (please list) - property transfer &amp; NASA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REGION 5 (IL-115, IN-14, MI-10, OH-21)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th># Of sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total # of Federal Facility Sites</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # of Federal Facility Sites With Active Community Involvement</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Installation Restoration</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Base Realignment &amp; Closure</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Formerly Used Defense Sites</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Military Munitions Response</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Energy</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Federal Agencies/Federal Land Management (DOI, USDA, etc…)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: (please list)-8 FUSRAP, 1 ARMY “BRAC” agreement</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REGION 6 (AR-77, NM-257, TX-58)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th># Of sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total # of Federal Facility Sites</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # of Federal Facility Sites With Active Community Involvement</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Installation Restoration</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Base Realignment &amp; Closure</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Formerly Used Defense Sites</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Military Munitions Response</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Energy</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Federal Agencies/Federal Land Management (DOI, USDA, etc…)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: (please list)-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The numbers in each of the tables represent responding States. They do not represent all of the States/Territories in each of the Regions.
COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT SURVEY

(Continued)

6. How many federal facility sites is your department/agency actively remediating:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th># Of sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Region 7 (KS-149, MO-272, NE-10)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # of Federal Facility Sites</td>
<td>431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # of Federal Facility Sites With Active Community Involvement</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Installation Restoration</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Base Realignment &amp; Closure</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Formerly Used Defense Sites</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Military Munitions Response</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Energy</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Federal Agencies/Federal Land Management (DOI, USDA, etc…)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please Identify</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: (please list) - property transfer &amp; NASA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th># Of sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Region 8 (CO-12, ND-2, SD-5, UT-78)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # of Federal Facility Sites</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # of Federal Facility Sites With Active Community Involvement</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Installation Restoration</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Base Realignment &amp; Closure</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Formerly Used Defense Sites</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Military Munitions Response</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Energy</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Federal Agencies/Federal Land Management (DOI, USDA, etc…)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please Identify</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: (please list) - property transfer &amp; NASA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th># Of sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Region 9 (AZ-22, CA-161 (DOD only), HI-50)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # of Federal Facility Sites</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # of Federal Facility Sites With Active Community Involvement</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Installation Restoration</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Base Realignment &amp; Closure</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Formerly Used Defense Sites</td>
<td>1315 (CA 1300)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense, Military Munitions Response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Energy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Federal Agencies/Federal Land Management (DOI, USDA, etc…)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please Identify</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: (please list)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The numbers in each of the tables represent responding States. They do not represent all of the States/Territories in each of the Regions.
7. What resources, materials, or guidances has your department/agency found useful when working on federal facility community involvement issues?

Restoration Advisory Board Information
- Guidelines on the operation of Restoration Advisory Boards (RABs)
- There has been very little outreach to the community on DoD sites. RABs have been the main vehicle by which our State has participated in public outreach.
- The State Hazardous Waste Program and the two Air Force Bases, a part of their RAB have tried various methods to encourage public participation.

Public Participation Information
- Public Outreach materials provided by the federal site
- Displays of sample ordnance items
- Aerial and still photos
- Educational materials, e.g. technology, groundwater models, etc.
  - Getting in Step – Pathway to Effective Outreach and Involvement in Your Community, EPA 2003
  - The model plan for public participation, EPA 2000
  - Technical Assistance for Public Participation Guidance
  - The most effective tool is direct communication
  - News releases

Federal and State Laws
- CERCLA Guidance and public meeting press releases
- Appropriate laws and regulations and the following reports, manuals and guidance documents:
  - RAB Report to Congress
  - RAB Implementation Guidelines
  - California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) Public Participation Manual
  - Public Involvement in RCRA Permits
  - Working effectively with Tribal Governments
  - Federal Facilities Environmental Restoration Dialogue Committee (FFERDC) Report
  - EPA’s Community Involvement Handbook

- The State role has always been in response to requests for assistance from the Services, such as our participation on RABs. However, the cooperative relationships that we have with the Services as a result of our formal partnerships have assisted with working with the communities.
7. What resources, materials, or guidances has your department/agency found useful when working on federal facility community involvement issues?

- Administrative Record
- CERCLA/NCP
- Massachusetts Contingency Plan Regulation, Subpart N – Public Involvement
- Superfund – Community Involvement Program
- State requirements
- We do not view this aspect of our federal facilities as being different than any other site and use a guidance document that is being finalized as a rule here in our State (NJ).
- Annual Reports to the Public issues from other States.
- EPA, Fish and Wildlife, and DOD resources and contractors
- ATSDR Toxics Fact Sheets
- EPA OSWER documents and Fact Sheets

8. What web sites has your agency found useful when working on community involvement issues at federal facilities?

- [www.asaie.army.mil/pitoolbox](http://www.asaie.army.mil/pitoolbox)
- [www.astswmo.org](http://www.astswmo.org)
- [www.cpeo.org](http://www.cpeo.org)
- [www.defenselink.mil/brac](http://www.defenselink.mil/brac)
- [www.dtic.mil/envirodod/stakeholder/Wcommunity/si_WRAB](http://www.dtic.mil/envirodod/stakeholder/Wcommunity/si_WRAB)
- [www.epa.gov](http://www.epa.gov)
- [www.epa.gov/fed/faq/documents/baseclosure](http://www.epa.gov/fed/faq/documents/baseclosure)
- [www.epa.gov/publicinvolvement](http://www.epa.gov/publicinvolvement)
- [www.epa.gov/region03](http://www.epa.gov/region03)
- [www.epa.gov/superfund/tools/index.htm](http://www.epa.gov/superfund/tools/index.htm)
- [www.epa.gov/superfund/students/clas_act/index.htm](http://www.epa.gov/superfund/students/clas_act/index.htm) (Superfund for teachers and students)
- [www.epa.gov/swerfrr/stakeholder](http://www.epa.gov/swerfrr/stakeholder)
- [www.ewg.org](http://www.ewg.org) (Environmental Working Group)
- [www.gio.doe.gov](http://www.gio.doe.gov)
- [www.hillrab.org](http://www.hillrab.org) (Hill Air Force Base)
- [www.itrc.org](http://www.itrc.org)
- [www.landuse.org](http://www.landuse.org) (Smarter Land Use Project)
- [www.miltoxproj.org](http://www.miltoxproj.org)
- [www.mmr.org](http://www.mmr.org) (MMR AirForce IRP program)
- [www.neetf.org](http://www.neetf.org) (National Environmental Education Training Foundation)
- [www.webdirectory.com](http://www.webdirectory.com) (Environment Directory)
- Community based RAB websites
- State government websites
9. **Please feel free to comment on other areas of federal facilities and community involvement, not covered in this survey.**

- Department of Defense (DOD) must take the lead in conducting community involvement for federal facilities.
- Membership drives/recruitment strategies are key for diverse inclusive public involvement.
- Maintaining team membership over lengthy cleanup timeframes.
- At the DOE Fernald cleanup in SW Ohio, we have found that involving the public early in decision-making allows for a smoother process and better decisions.
- Community relations are normally coordinated through each of our 6 regional offices in Pennsylvania. The Region CRC is the primary point of contact for each region. The Central office in Harrisburg may become involved in certain cases as well as program people from Central and Regional Office. Federal Facilities in PA, for the most part, are not treated any differently than other facilities as far as community relations are concerned. The DEP Citizens Advisory Council also provides input to the Department on issues dealing with public participation.
- RABs as a necessity and how to work through lack of participation.
- State participation in public meetings regarding UXO removal actions is limited due to the State need to gain expertise in this type of cleanup activity.
- Defense Supply Center Richmond puts out a community newsletter; St. Juliens Creek Annex recently completed a community survey for their community involvement plan and is looking at ways to make web-based interaction more accessible to the public. Currently developing interactive electronic Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment (BERA).

10. **Would you be willing to share your experience or most valuable tool with other States and Territories as it relates to community involvement at federal facilities?**

- **CA:** Ways to effectively/cooperatively work with all stakeholders regarding federal facilities; successes and problems with RABs; community involvement issues specific to closing/closed military bases; and community involvement as it relates to transferred property from former military bases.
- **CO:** We can provide training in presentation skills and media relations. We are also available to discuss Brownfields, asbestos problems at FUDS, establishing RABs or any other general questions States may have.
- **DC:** We actively participate in annual FUDS Region III programs in which we share our FUDS research with the other Region III States; have prepared a book on how to remediate ordnance and CWM sites; participated in the October 2005 Chesapeake Bioneers Conference.
- **FL:** Partnerships.
- **GA:** The 1996 RCRA Public Participation Manual
- **KY:** Make community involvement a primary job duty of one of the Installation managers or one of the contractors.
10. Would you be willing to share your experience or most valuable tool with other States and Territories as it relates to community involvement at federal facilities?

- **MA:** Massachusetts Military Reservation (MMR) has 2 DoD cleanup programs: IRP Superfund, and Army Impact Area UXO are high profile sites where community involvement continues to play a major role in the cleanup advisory process. Many lessons learned, many tools used. Community understands that we need to continually get DoD to state their continued commitment at very high levels to ensure CI and cleanup is consistent, transparent, informed, and involved. Getting the right players to the table may be the topic MMR folks would be willing to share stories about.
- **MI:** Most valuable tool are the RABs and the meetings
- **NE:** We can share lessons learned with other States through ASTSWMO.
- **NH:** Community Interviews
- **NJ:** Treat federal facilities like any other entity; actively participate in the RABs and be visible.
- **NC:** Our participation in community involvement includes production of two UXO brochures, poster and participation in MMRP public meetings. We are also working with schools to educate children about UXO. A contractor for the RAB at one of our MMRP sites has produced a video and a coloring book to help teach the children about UXO. We are available to discuss our experiences with community involvement with anyone interested.
- **PA:** Our biggest federal case is the former Tobyhanna Artillery Range in Monroe and Wayne counties. We have had success with media outreach, including field trip showing our detection technology for UXO, public meeting, conference calls and pre-meeting with elected officials; developing and maintaining a community advisory group; and developing and maintaining a web site for this particular site. This project has been a very successful model of how it can work. The site project manager as well as the regional Community Relations Coordinator would be glad to share some ideas and suggestions utilizing Tobyhanna UXO as a model.
- **TN:** Personal experience at superfund sites and larger partnerships sites such as Copperhill Mining Site.
- **UT:** Information Fairs or Poster Session have proved effective alternatives to presenting information and addressing concerns of the community. Having a station to answer health issues with Doctors or Toxicologists, Engineers and Project Managers available for construction questions, and a RAB/Community area to help represent the citizens can thoroughly address specific concerns at a site. Presentation meeting may cover the work being done but rarely provides an in-depth exchange of ideas with the audience. Some members of the community are only interested in one aspect of a site, such as, health or property values. Not everyone wants a history briefing of the site.
11. What areas/issues would you like to see the Community Involvement Focus Group research and pursue?

Need for Public Participation

- How to improve community participation in restoration activities at federal facilities. We are having difficulty getting the community to show enough interest.
- Based upon the successes of our partnerships in Florida, the use of partnerships should be considered.
- Community involvement issues at sites utilizing performance-based contracting.
- Communicating risk about ordnance sites.
- DOD is very slow to act on FUDS. Public involvement would bring interest and money to the program. However, DOD obviously doesn’t want to sound any alarms. What role are other States taking in publicizing FUDS issues in their States?
- In many instances, community involvement is an essential part of remedial activities. In some, community involvement is not necessary and can cause problems at a site. I do not feel it is necessary to involve the public in all remedial decisions at a site. But how do we know the difference? When is community involvement necessary?
- How should Munitions and Explosives of Concern (MEC) sites be public noticed? Public involvement at these sites tends to attract people.
- How to address the issue of dysfunctional RABs where some members of the public have thwarted attempts for meaningful dialogue and instead promote their own agenda, which leads to unproductive meetings, discord among RAB members and negative (and inaccurate) publicity.
- How to alert the uninterested public and keep them involved along the entire process so as to avoid crisis in the future. People’s time is a commodity.
- Use of local governmental agencies, boards as a way to ensure public input is considered.
- Use of politics, political allies to address the FUDs funding problem.

Specific Tasks Requested

- Organize forums at the ASTSWMO Federal Facilities meeting where case studies of conflict management can be presented and shared.
- Organize training for States regarding federal facilities community involvement policy and regulations.
- Organize States’ only list serve where communication/discussion between States can take place.
- Web-based interactive information using GIS layers.

Suggested Needed Tools

- The need for a guidance document or protocol for setting up a community outreach program.
- Tools for the education of children about UXO sites.
- Effective brochures and poster for public awareness about UXO sites.
- Superfund/Munitions Response Concepts 101 for the citizens.
- Working with communities to develop effective land use controls.
# of States With Full Time Community Involvement Personnel (Question 3) Relative to the # of States that have Significant Community Involvement Activities (Question 5)

- States with full-time community involvement personnel: 6
- Restoration Advisory Boards: 30
- Public Meetings: 29
- Public Hearings: 16
- Public Availability Sessions: 20
Types of Community Activities in Various States  
(Question 5)

- Advertisements: 7
- Public Service Announcements: 8
- Other: 9
- Legal Notices: 13
- Web Sites: 15
- Articles: 15
- Public Hearings: 16
- Public Availability Sessions: 20
- Fact Sheets: 21
- Public Meetings: 29
- RABs: 30
How many States have full time Community Involvement Personnel (Question 3)?

- 18% of States WITH full time community personnel
- 82% of States WITHOUT full time community personnel

Total # of responding States = 33
% of FUDS relative to all other types of Federal Facility Sites

Formerly Used Defense Sites 33%

All other types Federal Facility Sites 67%
Region 1 Site Distribution

Data available for 3 out of 5 States/Territories in Region 1

- Rhode Island: 74%
- Massachusetts: 14%
- New Hampshire: 12%

Region 2 Site Distribution

Data available for 3 out of 4 States/Territories in Region 2

- New York: 52%
- Puerto Rico: 18%
- New Jersey: 30%

Note: Data available for 3 out of 5 States/Territories in Region 1 and 3 out of 4 States/Territories in Region 2.
Region 3 Site Distribution

Data available for 4 out of 6 States/Territories in Region 3

- **District of Columbia**: 3%
- **Maryland**: 18%
- **Pennsylvania**: 38%
- **Virginia**: 41%

Region 4 Site Distribution

Data available for 5 out of 8 States/Territories in Region 4

- **Alabama**: 31%
- **Georgia**: 17%
- **Kentucky**: 11%
- **North Carolina**: 20%
- **Tennessee**: 21%
Region 5 Site Distribution

Data available for 4 out of 6 States/Territories in Region 5

- Illinois: 72%
- Indiana: 9%
- Michigan: 6%
- Ohio: 13%

Region 6 Site Distribution

Data available for 3 out of 5 States/Territories in Region 6

- New Mexico: 65%
- Texas: 15%
- Arkansas: 20%
Region 7 Site Distribution

Data available for 3 out of 4 States/Territories in Region 7

- Kansas: 35%
- Missouri: 63%
- Nebraska: 2%

Region 8 Site Distribution

Data available for 4 out of 6 States/Territories in Region 8

- Colorado: 12%
- North Dakota: 2%
- South Dakota: 5%
- Utah: 81%
Region 9 Site Distribution

Data available for 3 out of 5 States/Territories in Region 9

- California: 70%
- Arizona: 9%
- Hawaii: 21%

No Data is available for States/Territories in Region 10
ATTACHMENT A – QUESTION 1 OF THE SURVEY

ALABAMA
Brandi Little
Environmental Engineer II
AL Department of Environmental Management
P: 334-274-4226
blittle@adem.state.al.us

ARIZONA
Moses A. Olade
Manager, Federal Facilities
AZ Department of Environmental Quality
P: 602-771-4245
olade.moses@azdeq.gov

ARKANSAS
Chris Hemann
Public Outreach & Assistance Division Chief
AR Department of Environmental Quality
P: 501-682-0821
hemann@adeq.state.ar.us

CALIFORNIA
Rick Moss
Office of Military Facilities Division Chief
Cal/EPA – Department of Toxic Substances Control
P: 916-255-6416
rmoss@dtsc.ca.gov

COLORADO
Laura Bishard (Retired)
Public Information Officer III
CO Department of Public Health & Environment
P: 303-692-3310
laura.bishard@state.co.us

WASHINGTON, D.C.
Dr. Richard Albright
Environmental Scientist
District Department of the Environment
P: 202-535-2283
richard.albright@dc.gov

FLORIDA
Eric Nuzie
Federal Facilities Coordinator
FL Department of Environmental Protection
P: 850-245-8978
eric.nuzie@dep.state.fl.us

GEORGIA
Brent Rabon
Coordinator, DOD Remediation Unit
GA Environmental Protection Division
P: 404-657-8675
brent_rabon@dnr.state.ga.us

HAWAII
Steven Mow
Environmental Health Specialist IV
HI Department of Health Hazardous Evaluation & Emergency Response Office
P: 808-586-4251
steven.mow@doh.hawaii.gov

ILLINOIS
Robert Carson
Manager, Federal Facilities Unit
IL Environmental Protection Agency
P: 217-782-9803
robert.carson@epa.state.il.us

INDIANA
Rex A. Osborn
Chief, Federal Programs Section
IN Department of Environmental Management
P: 317-234-0351
rosborn@idem.IN.gov

KANSAS
Leo Henning
Section Chief
KS Department of Health & Environment
P: 785-296-1914
lhenning@kdhe.state.ks.us
ATTACHMENT A – QUESTION 1 OF THE SURVEY (Cont’d)

KENTUCKY
April J. Webb
Hazardous Waste Branch Manager
KY Department for the Environment
P: 502-564-6716 x676
april.webb@ky.gov

MARYLAND
John Y. Fairbank
Chief, Federal Facilities Division
MD Department of the Environment
P: 410-537-3475
jfairbank@mde.state.md.us

MASSACHUSETTS
Ellie Grillo
Regional Planner
MA Department of Environmental Protection
Southeast Region
P: 508-946-2866
ellie.grillo@state.ma.us

MICHIGAN
Robert Delaney
DSMOA Coordinator
MI Department of Environmental Quality
P: 517-373-7406
delaneyr@michigan.gov

MISSOURI
Robert Geller
Director, Hazardous Waste Program
MO Department of Natural Resources
P: 573-751-2674
bob.geller@dnr.mo.gov

NEBRASKA
Aradhna Srivastav
Unit Supervisor
NE Department of Environmental Quality
P: 402-471-3388
aradhna.srivastav@ndeq.state.ne.us

NEW HAMPSHIRE
Richard Pease
Supervisor
NH Department of Environmental Services
P: 603-271-3649
rpease@des.state.nh.us

NEW JERSEY
Bruce Venner
Bureau Chief
NJ Department of Environmental Protection
P: 609-633-0706
bruce.venner@dep.state.nj.us

NEW MEXICO
Julie Jacobs
Environmental Specialist/DSMOA Program Manager
NM Environmental Department
P: 505-428-2554
Julie.Jacobs@state.nm.us

NEW YORK
John Swartwout
Section Chief
NY Department of Environmental Conservation
P: 518-402-9620
jbswarto@gw.dec.state.ny.us

NORTH CAROLINA
David J. Lown
Head, Federal Remediation Branch
NC Department of Environment and Natural Resources
P: 919-503-8464
david.lown@ncmail.net

NORTH DAKOTA
Curtis Erickson
Manager, Hazardous Waste Program
ND Department of Health
P: 701-328-5166
cerickson@state.nd.us

OHIO
Laura Hafer
Public Outreach Coordinator
OH Environmental Protection Agency
P: 937-285-6455
laura.hafer@epa.state.oh.us

 PENNSYLVANIA
Gary Moulder, CHMM
Chief, Federal Facilities Section
PA Department of Environmental Protection
P: 717-787-7566
gmoulder@state.pa.us
ATTACHMENT A – QUESTION 1 OF THE SURVEY (Cont’d)

PUERTO RICO
Yarissa Martinez
Special Assistant
PR Environmental Quality Board
P: 787-767-8056
yarissamartinez@jca.gobierno.pr

RHODE ISLAND
Richard Gottlieb
Principal Engineer
RI Department of Environmental Management
P: 401-222-2797 x 7138
richad.gottlieb@dem.ri.gov

SOUTH DAKOTA
Mark Lawrensen
Senior Scientist
SD Department of Environment & Natural Resources
P: 605-773-5868
mark.lawrensen@state.sd.us

TENNESSEE
Suzanne Wilkes
Community Relations Coordinator
TN Department of Environmental Conservation
Division of Remediation
P: 615-532-0925
suzanne.wilkes@state.tn.us

TEXAS
Allan Posnick
DSMOA Program Manager
TX Commission on Environmental Quality
P: 512-239-2332
aposnick@tceq.state.tx.us

UTAH
Dave Allison
Community Involvement Coordinator
UT Department of Environmental Quality
P: 801-536-4479
dallison@utah.gov

VIRGINIA
James L. Cutler Jr.
Federal Facilities Project Manager
VA Department of Environmental Quality
P: 804-698-4498
ATTACHMENT B –
COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT CONTACT (QUESTION 2)

ALABAMA - www.adem.state.al.us
Jerome Hand
Public Relations Director
AL Department of Environmental Management
P.O. Box 301463
Montgomery, AL 36130-1463
P: 334-270-5612
jhand@adem.state.al.us

ARIZONA - www.azdeq.gov
Veronica Garcia
Supervisor, Community Outreach Unit
Waste Programs
AZ Department of Environmental Quality
1110 W. Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85012
P: 603-771-4422
Vg2@azdeq.gov

CALIFORNIA - www.dtsc.ca.gov
Jim Marxen – External Affairs Deputy Director
Cal/EPA – Department of Toxic Substances
Control
P.O. Box 806
Sacramento, CA 95812-0806
P: 916-324-6544
jmarxen@dtsc.ca.gov
OR
Diane Fowler – Public Participation Supervisor
Cal/EPA – Department of Toxic Substances
Control
8800 Cal Center Drive
Sacramento, CA 95826
P: 916-255-6682
dfowler@dtsc.ca.gov

COLORADO - www.cdphe.state.co.us
Warren Smith
Community Involvement Manager
CO Department of Public Health & Environment
Hazardous Materials & Waste Management
4300 Cherry Creek Drive South
Denver, CO 80246
P: 303-692-3373
warren.smith@state.co.us

FLORIDA - www.dep.state.fl.us
Eric Nuzie
Federal Facilities Coordinator
FL Department of Environmental Protection
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, FL 32399-2400
P: 850-245-8978
eric.nuzie@dep.state.fl.us

HAWAII - www.hawaii.gov/health/eh/shwb/hw/
Fenix Grange SDAR Supervisor
HI Department of Health Hazard Evaluation &
Emergency Response Office
919 Ala Moana Boulevard, Room 206
Honolulu, HI 96814
P: 808-586-5815
fenix.grange@doh.hawaii.gov

INDIANA – www.idem.IN.gov
Stephanie Andrews
SR. Environmental Manager
IN Department of Environmental Management
Federal Programs Section
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2241
P: 317-234-0358
sandrews@idem.IN.gov

KENTUCKY - www.dep.ky.gov
DeDe Grisham
KY Department for Environmental Protection
14 Reilly Road
Frankfort, KY 40601
P: 502-564-6716 x163
dede.grisham@ky.gov

MASSACHUSETTS -
www.mass.gov/dep/dephome.htm
Ellie Grillo
Regional Planner
MA Department of Environmental Protection
Southeast Region
20 Riverside Drive
Lakeville, MA 02347
P: 508-946-2866
ellie.grillo@state.ma.us
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MICHIGAN – www.michigan.gov/deq
Robert Delaney
DSMOA Coordinator
MI Department of Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 30426
Lansing, MI 48909-7926
P: 517-373-7406
delaneyr@michigan.gov

MISSOURI – www.dnr.mo.gov
Ramona Huckstep
Community Relations
MO Department of Natural Resources
P.O. Box 176
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0176
P: 573-522-1540
ramona.huckstep@dnr.mo.gov

NEBRASKA – www.ndeq.state.ne.us
Aradhna Srivastav
Unit Supervisor
NE Department of Environmental Quality
Suite 400, The Atrium
1200 N Street
P.O. Box 98922
Lincoln, NE 68509-8922
P: 402-471-3388
aradhna.srivastav@ndeq.state.ne.us

NEW HAMPSHIRE – www.des.nh.gov
Richard Pease
Supervisor
NH Department of Environmental Services
Federal and Superfund Sites Section
P.O. Box 95
Concord, NH 03302-0095
P: 603-271-3649
rpease@des.state.nh.us

NEW JERSEY – www.nj.gov/dep
Dr. Judy Shaw, Chief
Office of Community Relations
NJ Department of Environmental Protection
P.O. Box 028
401 E. State Street
Trenton, NJ 08625
P: 609-984-3081
judith.shaw@dep.state.nj.us

NEW MEXICO - www.nmenv.state.nm.us
James Bearzi
Bureau Chief
NM Environmental Department
Hazardous Waste Bureau
2905 Rodeo Park Dr. E. Bldg One
P: 505-428-2500
james.bearzi@state.nm.us

NEW YORK – www.dec.state.ny.us
Lawrence Ennist
Environmental Program Specialist
NY Department of Environmental Conservation
Bureau of Program Management
625 Broadway
Albany, NY 12233
P: 518-402-9751
ldennist@gw.dec.state.ny.us

NORTH CAROLINA - www.wastenotnc.org
Cathy Akroyd
Public Information Officer
NC Department of Environment &
Natural Resources
1646 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-1646
P: 919-508-8438
cathy.akroyd@ncmailnet

NORTH DAKOTA – www.health.state.nd.us/
EHS/
Bob Disney
Environmental Scientist
Hazardous Waste Program
ND Department of Health
Division of Waste Management
P.O. Box 5520
Bismarck, ND 58506-5520
P: 701-328-5166
rdisney@state.nd.us
OHIO – www.epa.state.oh.us/
Laura Hafer
Public Outreach Coordinator
OH Environmental Protection Agency
401 East Fifth Street
Dayton, OH 45402
P: 937-285-6455
laura.hafer@epa.state.oh.us

PENNSYLVANIA - www.dep.state.pa.us
Deborah Fries
Community Relations Coordinator (SE Region),
PA Department of Environmental Protection
2 East Main Street
Norristown, PA 19401
P: 484-250-5808
defries@state.pa.us

Mark Carmon
Community Relations Coordinator (NE Region),
PA Department of Environmental Protection
2 Public Square
Wilkes-Barre, PA 18711
P: 570-826-2511
mcarmon@state.pa.us

Sandra Roderick
Community Relations Coordinator (SC Region)
PA Department of Environmental Protection
909 Elmerton Ave.
Harrisburg, PA 17110
P: 717-705-4703
sroderick@state.pa.us

Daniel Spadoni
Community Relations Coordinator (NC Region),
PA Department of Environmental Protection
Suite 101, 208 West Third Street
Williamsport, PA 17701
P: 570-327-3659
dspadoni@state.pa.us

Helen Humphreys
P: 412-442-4183
hhumphreys@state.pa.us
Betsy Mallison
P: 412-442-4182

Community Relations Coordinators (SW Region)
PA Department of Environmental Protection
400 Waterfront Drive
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Freda Tarbell
Community Relations Coordinator (NW Region)
PA Department of Environmental Protection
230 Chestnut Street
Meadville, PA 16335
P: 814-332-6816
ftarbell@state.pa.us

PUERTO RICO
Yarissa Martinez, Special Assistant and
Wilmarie Rivera, Remedial Project Manager
PR Environmental Quality Board
Superfund Core/RPM Division
P.O. Box 11488
Santurce, PR 00910
P: 787-767-8181
wilmarierivera@jca.gobierno.pr
yarissamartinez@jca.gobierno.pr

SOUTH DAKOTA – www.sd.us
Mark Lawrensen
Senior Scientist
SD Department of Environment &
Natural Resources
523 E. Capitol Ave
Pierre, SD 57501
P: 605-773-5868
mark.lawrensen@state.sd.us

TENNESSEE - www.state.tn.us/environment/
Suzanne Wilkes
Community Relations Coordinator
TN Department of Environmental Conservation
Division of Remediation
4th Floor, L&C Annex, 401 Church Street
Nashville, TN 37243-1538
P: 615-532-0925
suzanne.wilkes@state.tn.us
ATTACHMENT B –
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TEXAS - www.tceq.state.tx.us
David Davis
Administrative Section Manager
TX Commission on Environmental Quality
Remediation Division
1200 Park 35 Circle Building D, MC-225
Austin, TX 78753
P: 512-239-2332
dadavis@tceq.state.tx.us

UTAH – www.deq.utah.gov
Dave Allison
Community Involvement Coordinator
UT Department of Environmental Quality
Office of Planning & Public Affairs
168 North 1950 West
Salt Lake City, UT 84116
P: 801-536-4479
dallison@utah.gov
Community Involvement Questionnaire

Date: September 16, 2005

To: State & Territorial Federal Facility Program Managers

From: ASTSWMO Community Involvement Focus Group

Re: Questionnaire

In November 2004, the Association of State and Territorial Solid Waste Management Officials (ASTSWMO) formed the Community Involvement Focus Group. The mission of this Focus Group is to identify issues of common interest, encourage improved partnerships between State, Communities and Federal agencies, and produce issue papers and other products as necessary to promote State and Territorial interest on issues affecting public information and community involvement at cleanups across the country.

To aid this mission, the ASTSWMO Community Involvement Work Group is gathering contact information that can be shared with other States and Territories that work on community involvement issues as they relate to federal facilities. This information will be compiled and shared with our members to better assist in community involvement efforts. We ask that you return the following questionnaire to ASTSWMO by Friday, October 28, 2005. Should you have any questions, please contact either Dania Rodriguez in the ASTSWMO office (daniar@sso.org) or any of the following Focus Group members:

Chair, Laura Bishard, CO: laura.bishard@state.co.us
Mark Lewis, CT: mark.lewis@po.state.ct.us
Richard Albright, DC: richard.albright@dc.gov
Brandi Little, AL: BLittle@adem.state.al.us
Chris C. Hemann, AR: hemann@adeq.state.ar.us
Ramona Huckstep, MO: ramona.huckstep@dnr.mo.gov
Dave Allison, UT: dallison@utah.gov
Steven Mow, HI: smow@eha.health.state.hi.us

Please take a moment to fill out this questionnaire and return to ASTSWMO it either via email or fax to:
Dania E. Rodriguez
Senior Federal Facilities Staff Associate
ASTSWMO
444 North Capitol Street, NW, Suite 315
Washington, DC 20001
Ph: 202-624-5973 Fax: 202-624-7875 daniar@sso.org
IF APPLICABLE, PLEASE COORDINATE WITH YOUR STATE/TERRITORY RESPONSE WITH YOUR COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT STAFF.

1. Please provide the following information of the person submitting this questionnaire.

Name:
Title:
Agency:
Phone:
Email:

2. Do you have a person(s) assigned to work on community involvement activities at federal facility sites? (Please check the appropriate box) □ Yes □ No
(Please identify person and position title)

2a. If yes, is this their main responsibility or are these other duties as assigned? (Please check the appropriate box) □ Yes □ No

2b. If no, who is responsible for your program’s Community Involvement requirements? (i.e. Project Manager, Environmental Engineer, etc....) (Please identify person and position title)

2c. Please include a community involvement contact (those responsible for these duties) along with their title, telephone number, e-mail, etc.:

Contact Name:
Title:
Agency/Department:
Mailing Address:
Telephone Number:
E-mail Address:
Web Address:
3. What types of community activities does your agency currently participate in as they relate to federal facilities? Please check all that apply:

☐ Advertisements
☐ Articles
☐ Fact Sheets
☐ Legal notices
☐ Public Availability Sessions
   (Poster sessions)
☐ Public Hearings
☐ Public Meetings
☐ Public Service Announcements
☐ Restoration Advisory Boards
☐ Web sites
☐ Other (please list):

4. How many federal facility sites is your department/agency actively remediating:

Department # of sites
Total # of Federal Facility Sites
Total # of Federal Facility Sites With Active Community Involvement
Department of Defense, Installation Restoration
Department of Defense, Base Realignment & Closure
Department of Defense, Formerly Used Defense Sites
Department of Defense, Military Munitions Response
Department of Energy
Civil Federal Agencies/Federal Land Management (DOI, USDA, etc…) Please Identify
Other: (please list)

5a. What resources, materials, or guidances has your department/agency found useful when working on federal facility community involvement issues? (please list)
5b. What web sites has your agency found useful when working on community involvement issues at federal facilities? (please list)

6. Please feel free to comment on other areas of federal facilities and community involvement, not covered in this survey.

7. Would you be willing to share your experience or most valuable tool with other States and Territories as it relates to community involvement at federal facilities?
   □ Yes  □ No

   If yes, please explain/list:

8. What areas/issues would you like to see the Community Involvement Focus Group research and pursue?

Thank you for your time and assistance.